



Community Budget Review Committee (CBRC) Meeting Minutes

Date:	Thursday, May 2, 2024
Time:	5:30 pm - 7:30 pm
Location:	(Virtual) The meeting will be streamed live under the provision of
	ORS 192.670 at: https://www.youtube.com/@ppsfinance/live

MATERIALS

DRAFT CBRC Budget Report CBRC Data Requests and Questions/Answers from Staff Staff Memo to Board Public Comment - 2024-04 Equity Funding has not closed the racial achievement gap in Portland Schools Public Comment - RABASA Public Comment - Reform PPS Funding

ATTENDEES

CBRC Attendees

Karanja Crews Aaron Cronan Dashiell Elliott Tasz Ferguson Grace Groom Mariah Hudson Roger Kirchner Stephen Lindner Luke Susswood

Staff Attendees

Dr. Renard Adams Junho Chang Alexandra Martin Leslie O'Dell

Board Attendees

Director Patte Sullivan

Public Comment

Suzanne Clarke Anna Marquez Oscar Ponteri

MINUTES

5:32 pm

Opening - Committee Chair

Mariah Hudson opened the meeting at 5:32p.

5:35 pm

Introductions

Dr. Renard Adams and Leslie O'Dell introduced themselves.

5:36 pm

Public comment

Community members provided public comment.

5:47 pm

Report creation working meeting

Mariah Hudson facilitated finalization of the LOL report and the annual budget review.

7:32 pm

Closing - Committee Vice-Chair

Mariah Hudson adjourned the meeting at 7:32p.

TRANSCRIPT

<u>WEBVTT</u>

00:05:10.000 --> 00:05:19.000 Good meeting everyone. Well, GIFFS a few more minutes to trickle into this room. Thanks for everyone.

00:05:19.000 --> 00:05:49.000 Just on time tonight. Appreciate you and I'll get started soon.

<u>00:06:00.000 --> 00:06:30.000</u> <u>Or folks who are providing public comment. I see you in the room. And will promote you to</u> panelists so that you can provide public comment when we get to that portion of the meet in just a few moments.

00:07:00.000 --> 00:07:01.000 I was just counting up our attendees and seeing if we had a quorum.

00:07:01.000 --> 00:07:19.000 Oh, are we just giving people a couple of minutes to join in here? I. She's like, Perfect, thank you.

<u>00:07:19.000 --> 00:07:29.000</u> Okay, I think we can go ahead and kick it off. Mariah, pass it over to you.

00:07:29.000 --> 00:07:40.000 Just one moment.

00:07:40.000 --> 00:07:48.000

<u>Great. Well, thanks everyone. We are wrapping up. Our budget, our budget cycle here, at least our work on the budget.</u>

00:07:48.000 --> 00:07:57.000 And, so I. I think what we wanna do here is let's kinda go through the letter and go 3 points that people have.

00:07:57.000 --> 00:08:03.000 Points that we have for discussion, just to frame this, in terms of what we've done in past years.

00:08:03.000 --> 00:08:11.000 I think there's a couple of ways to approach our letter and to approach. Any changes that we may have.

<u>00:08:11.000 --> 00:08:21.000</u> We can do 2 things so we can. We can go for a consensus letter where we all, you know, eventually vote and, adopt.

00:08:21.000 --> 00:08:29.000 And agree on what we have or we can look to. Incorporate. Non consensus opinions as well.

00:08:29.000 --> 00:08:36.000 So I just want to offer those up as options. I think we chose to go with a non consensus option last year.

00:08:36.000 --> 00:08:40.000 And just voted to approve it.

00:08:40.000 --> 00:08:45.000 Alright, so let's start in Alexandra, are you able to share and scroll through?

00:08:45.000 --> 00:08:46.000 Yeah, good. Oh excellent, let's go there.

00:08:46.000 --> 00:08:49.000 Yeah. I was just going to and we did have public comment as well and I was right.

00:08:49.000 --> 00:08:57.000 And I also wanted to recognize that we have, some different staff support from PPS leadership.

<u>00:08:57.000 --> 00:08:58.000</u> Perfect.

00:08:58.000 --> 00:09:08.000 So, I think we can, I get Leslie and Dr. Adams, would you like to do quick introduction and then we can move shift over to public comment and.

00:09:08.000 --> 00:09:12.000 Then get into the report.

<u>00:09:12.000 --> 00:09:16.000</u> I'd love to. Good evening everybody. Good to be in space with you and thank you for all the work.

<u>00:09:16.000 --> 00:09:26.000</u> And you've been doing, I hope some of you may remember me from our work session. My name is Rennard Adams and the chief of research assessment and accountability with the district.

00:09:26.000 --> 00:09:33.000 Hi. I'd like to echo the thanks for all that you're doing. And my name is Leslie O'dell.

00:09:33.000 --> 00:09:40.000 I am the interim chief of staff. 00:09:40.000 --> 00:09:56.000

Thank you. And then as I acknowledged, last week and over email, Young Lee is on leave and just so folks are where he will be heading back to the district but is is a way on vacation right now.

<u>00:09:56.000 --> 00:10:18.000</u>

So I'm gonna go ahead and shift towards public comments. I will start at the top, with Anna Marques.

00:10:18.000 --> 00:10:26.000 Hi. Can you hear me? Okay. Okay, I'm gonna read a statement.

00:10:26.000 --> 00:10:37.000

So my name is, I'm the parent of an awfully green middle school student and I'm also a member of the PPS racial equity and I'm also a member of the PPS racial equity and social justice community advisory committee and social justice community advisory committee.

00:10:37.000 --> 00:10:52.000

I'm here to ask that the BRC continues to include foundation policy reform in its report to the board to highlight the importance of allowing all schools to work together to raise funds and align state funding advocacy.

<u>00:10:52.000 --> 00:11:03.000</u>

<u>CB, our city needs to continue to show its support for foundation policy reform because our district is in crisis when it comes to equitable outcomes for all students.</u>

<u>00:11:03.000 --> 00:11:14.000</u>

We as an entire district need to unify and collaborate to support each student's needs fairly. Reforming school foundations is the 1st step in helping the entire PPS community see each and every PPS student as our students collectively.

<u>00:11:14.000 --> 00:11:29.000</u>

We can invest in each and every student's future by providing equitable and similar experiences no matter what side of the river they live on.

00:11:29.000 --> 00:11:36.000

Wouldn't it be amazing if we could begin to eliminate the stereotypes that exist in the district around the wealthy and poor schools?

00:11:36.000 --> 00:12:01.000

What if schools, families, educators and students were respected in the way they all deserve? I want to see the disparities eliminated for our entire Portland public schools community and when some schools are able to insulate themselves from cuts, it's both unfair, unacceptable, and contrary to PPS's stated mission.

00:12:01.000 --> 00:12:12.000

So let's move forward to support one another as a larger community to commit to true equity as well as sustainable funding options for each and every student in our district.

00:12:12.000 --> 00:12:29.000

<u>Please continue to include in your report to the board a recommendation to reform the PPS</u> <u>Foundation policy. So that fundraising practices truly reflect Pps's stated values and mission of</u> <u>social justice and racial equity.</u>

00:12:29.000 --> 00:12:35.000 We've weeded for over 25 years. What are we waiting for?

00:12:35.000 --> 00:12:39.000 Not that.

<u>00:12:39.000 --> 00:12:40.000</u> Hannah. 00:12:40.000 --> 00:12:43.000 Thank you.

00:12:43.000 --> 00:12:57.000 We have comment from Suzanne Clark.

00:12:57.000 --> 00:13:01.000 Can you hear me?

00:13:01.000 --> 00:13:02.000 My name is Suzanne Clark, CLAR KE, and I'm a parent of a student at Roosevelt High School.

<u>00:13:02.000 --> 00:13:12.000</u> <u>I helped form the reform PPS funding group over 4 years ago. I'm here tonight to ask the CBRC to support foundation policy reform now.</u>

<u>00:13:12.000 --> 00:13:26.000</u> Not doing so would send the message that funding shortfalls are of greater concern when they impact our wealthiest and whitest communities.

<u>00:13:26.000 --> 00:13:33.000</u>

<u>I understand why parents support foundations. I started the foundation at my daughter's</u> elementary school in 2,014.

00:13:33.000 --> 00:13:46.000

The school had just lost its title one status from the prior year. And at that time, some classes in the school had over 30 students, PE, music, art, library, were all on the chopping block due to a budget shortage.

<u>00:13:46.000 --> 00:14:02.000</u>

I'm sure that Roger would back me up on this. These budget cuts are nothing new. When PPS parents ask what they can do to help for over 20 years, the only message that PPS principals and administrators and now librarians, some librarians have told parents.

00:14:02.000 --> 00:14:22.000

Is that they can write a check to the foundation. So I started one. However, after attending meetings with foundation board members from foundations that had been around for a long time, it became really clear to me that the system was not intended for my lower SES community, which also did not receive a parent fund grant.

00:14:22.000 --> 00:14:32.000

My school did not have the ability to raise enough funds to purchase one FTE. And even if it did, all of that time and effort wouldn't have even helped the entire school.

00:14:32.000 --> 00:14:39.000

I was confused about how we got here, so I did some research and I learned about the QEM and measure 5.

<u>00:14:39.000 --> 00:14:49.000</u>

<u>I immediately turn my energy to working for measure 97 in 2,015, which would have raised 3 billion for Oregon schools, but it didn't pass.</u>

<u>00:14:49.000 --> 00:15:00.000</u> And then the Student Success Act, which passed in 2,019. I thought this type of action would do more than writing a check to my daughter's school after a big party.

<u>00:15:00.000 --> 00:15:07.000</u>

And it has. The Student Success Act raised over 2 billion for Oregon schools and back then people said it would never happen.

00:15:07.000 --> 00:15:16.000

As you all know, better than most, PPS has serious funding issues. If nothing changes, in 2 years PPS will be facing exactly what Salem Kaiser had to do this year.

<u>00:15:16.000 --> 00:15:32.000</u>

And we'll be laying off hundreds of teachers. This policy reform would allow a 1-year transition period allowing foundations to pay to hire teachers next year as they do now.

<u>00:15:32.000 --> 00:15:44.000</u>

This is not being rushed. After which all private funds raised for FTE will go through a districtwide foundation and be distributed based on the recommendations of a parent committee.

<u>00:15:44.000 --> 00:15:54.000</u>

That committee would be representative of the entire district. More importantly, it would create an advocacy arm for building support in Salem, something we've never had.

<u>00:15:54.000 --> 00:15:57.000</u>

It's a great 1st step to repairing any damage caused by the strike and it will unite us as one district for the shared goal.

<u>00:15:57.000 --> 00:16:08.000</u> Our share goal. Shared goal of improving the outcomes of all students. I want to thank you guys, the CBRC.

<u>00:16:08.000 --> 00:16:18.000</u> You're doing a really great job and I hope that the board takes to heart your recommendations. Thank you so much for your time.

00:16:18.000 --> 00:16:27.000 Thank you. Suzanne, thank you for being here. Our next comment comes from Oscar.

<u>00:16:27.000 --> 00:16:35.000</u> <u>Hi there, sorry my camera's a little fuzzy. Alright, awesome. Can everyone hear me?</u>

<u>00:16:35.000 --> 00:16:40.000</u> Yes, okay, awesome. Good evening members of the community budget review committee. My name is Oscar Ponteri.

00:16:40.000 --> 00:16:52.000 I'm currently a 1st year student at Claremont McKenna College, but more importantly I'm a PPS alum who spent 13 years in our wonderful schools before graduating from Franklin just last June.

<u>00:16:52.000 --> 00:16:58.000</u> I'm joining you today from my dorm hall during finals week taking a break from studying.

<u>00:16:58.000 --> 00:17:04.000</u> <u>I'm to urge members of this committee to support the foundation reform in their pending report to the board.</u>

<u>00:17:04.000 --> 00:17:13.000</u> As a student at Franklin, I notice the inequalities in our school district. While we were busy fundraising by creating class competitions between underclassmen, upper class men.

00:17:13.000 --> 00:17:20.000 I knew that across town Lincoln would host large one night fundraising events in fancy hotels with high item auction.

<u>00:17:20.000 --> 00:17:31.000</u> <u>Tide ticket auction items. However, I did not know the engine behind these disparities until I wrote</u> <u>an article on foundations for Franklin's newspaper later that spring.</u>

<u>00:17:31.000 --> 00:17:38.000</u>

Later last spring. Digging into the data revealed a system that perpetuates our districts and equitable outcomes.

<u>00:17:38.000 --> 00:17:53.000</u>

In 2122 8 schools raise more money through foundations than the rest of the district combined. At these 8 super earners on average just 15% of their student body is historically underserved compared to the district average of 33%.

<u>00:17:53.000 --> 00:18:03.000</u>

It's no coincidence that these schools are wider and wealthier because many of them draw from majority draw the majority of their students from neighborhoods that historically excluded.

<u>00:18:03.000 --> 00:18:19.000</u>

<u>People of color through the practice of redlining. With this in mind, I ask you the members of this committee, are you comfortable in your report to the board saying that you, well, encourage the district to develop strategies to decrease inequities long term in one breath.</u>

<u>00:18:19.000 --> 00:18:27.000</u> While upholding the current. Foundation policy, which is closely tied to systematic racism and inequity in another.

00:18:27.000 --> 00:18:41.000

And that's a quote taken directly from your drafted report. After years and years of this committee urging the board to consider foundation reform, now with the viable alternative that promised opportunities for continued funding.

00:18:41.000 --> 00:18:50.000

The finish line is finally in sight. Now is not the time to turn your back on equity. Now is not the time to turn your back on racial justice.

00:18:50.000 --> 00:19:02.000 Please support foundation reform and cross the finish line knowing that you helped create a better reality for our students. Thank you.

<u>00:19:02.000 --> 00:19:05.000</u> Thank you. Oscar. Thank you for being here. So that concluded the public comment.

00:19:05.000 --> 00:19:20.000 For books who showed up in our Zoom Meeting there I also wanted to point out that I linked to and included on the CPRC website is a written comment that was submitted.

00:19:20.000 --> 00:19:27.000 So that concludes what we had for public comment for tonight. Stephan?

00:19:27.000 --> 00:19:28.000 Sorry, I didn't want to grow up to you. I just take some thoughts about the always comments.

00:19:28.000 --> 00:19:37.000 Where the 2?

00:19:37.000 --> 00:19:42.000 Go ahead if you had any. Follow up.

00:19:42.000 --> 00:19:49.000 Are we doing this? The public commenters are still here or is it just, or is the public meeting.

00:19:49.000 --> 00:20:06.000

So yeah, so I just wanna say like, I, you know, is that generic with this? I think from my perspective as a budget review committee, there's a couple of things that I think from my perspective as a budget review committee, there's a couple of things what I struggle to see in the budget and that's 1 thing is like we have these funds come in and now we're distributed so you

<u>00:20:06.000 --> 00:20:21.000</u>

know understand that I don't think we can do this this year but this more like some sort of my perspective on this is we had that that that kind of information I think we could say something more specific about that.

<u>00:20:21.000 --> 00:20:29.000</u>

And that related to that, you know, I talked to people who were, you know, supporting or organizing foundations.

00:20:29.000 --> 00:20:38.000

And you know, we have an argument that most of the money goes to a district. And that I'm removing foundations would would essentially just reduce a PBS budget.

00:20:38.000 --> 00:20:54.000

I'm not saying that's that's right or it's my position. But I think like that's kind of like the conversation that's out there and I think like in order to have a a good conversation around that.

<u>00:20:54.000 --> 00:21:08.000</u>

It would be helpful for me at least to have that kind of like information. You know, as I said, it's like how much money is collected and there is how this money is distributed by schools, what kind of difference it makes.

00:21:08.000 --> 00:21:09.000 You know, is this a trivial amount of school budgets. This is an important amount of budget.

00:21:09.000 --> 00:21:24.000 And so these are these are things that I, you know, I think I would love to address.

00:21:24.000 --> 00:21:30.000

Thank you, Stefan. I think we have a limited amount of time with everything on our agenda, but, Just we do.

<u>00:21:30.000 --> 00:21:44.000</u>

In case you're curious later, we do have a row within volume 2 that shows the FTE that are funded by Foundation and those dollars are also reflected in volume one.

00:21:44.000 --> 00:21:51.000

So I can, I can highlight them if it's interesting now that I am looking at the clock and aware that we have more.

00:21:51.000 --> 00:21:55.000 Luke, go ahead.

<u>00:21:55.000 --> 00:22:10.000</u> <u>Yeah, I just wanted to jump in. I think that this is, especially pertinent given the recent, library assistant cuts because only 2 schools were able to fund, the library system position after the district made the cuts, being I to be well as in Oxford.</u>

00:22:10.000 --> 00:22:36.000

So I think, you know, if we're talking about equity concerns surrounding cuts and foundations, this would be, you know, an especially good time to see that reform and might be something worth including in our report.

00:22:36.000 --> 00:22:43.000 Okay, do you want to, want to kind of jump into the report and just to review the sections then?

00:22:43.000 --> 00:22:53.000 Go through this. See if there's need.

<u>00:22:53.000 --> 00:22:54.000</u> <u>Yeah.</u> 00:22:54.000 --> 00:22:55.000

Alright, sorry to interrupt. I just I also wanted to be we have the local option levy data available for you and that is usually more of a technical piece.

00:22:55.000 --> 00:23:06.000 And so if you, I was wondering if we could share that 1st and just kind of get it out of the way.

00:23:06.000 --> 00:23:07.000 That sounds great.

<u>00:23:07.000 --> 00:23:13.000</u> And so if you, I was wondering if we could share that 1st and just kind of get it out of the way and then we Does that work or okay okay I'm going to go ahead and screen share what we've prepared and just make sure there are no questions about what we're.

<u>00:23:13.000 --> 00:23:26.000</u> <u>Presenting here and then Maria last we spoke I think that you had a created chair to input to</u> <u>review and then input data in the template that we had not updated.</u>

00:23:26.000 --> 00:23:27.000 Yep, and I got that data. Thank you.

00:23:27.000 --> 00:23:35.000 So. Okay, great. So, If you've already reviewed this, Miriam.

00:23:35.000 --> 00:23:38.000 Yeah, but I think it's worth doing for the group.

<u>00:23:38.000 --> 00:23:44.000</u> Okay, okay, great. So what we're presenting here, is for our prior school year, 2223.

00:23:44.000 --> 00:24:09.000

We have included the audited enrollment and then the actual revenue received for local option levy. And then, we provide, a comparison between, Yeah, what would the our staffing look like without the levy and then with our levy?

00:24:09.000 --> 00:24:23.000

And so this analysis found that for 2223 using actual enrollment and actual revenue receipts that we have funded 922 teachers on the levy.

<u>00:24:23.000 --> 00:24:43.000</u> And then this analysis also provides. The current year as an estimate, more obviously within the current year and so these figures will change as information is refined over time and the estimate this year for funding for the number of teachers is 802.

00:24:43.000 --> 00:24:55.000 This also provides a by school look at how the local option levy has impacted our school staffing.

<u>00:24:55.000 --> 00:25:04.000</u> And Juno, let me pause for you if there's anything else that you wanted to highlight from your team's analysis.

<u>00:25:04.000 --> 00:25:15.000</u> Yeah, so one thing that we do want to know is that we fine tuned our estimate for, the total.

00:25:15.000 --> 00:25:29.000

Cost for a teacher and we refined that by focusing on gen fund and by focusing on those who have teacher in their title, not just all PAT members.

00:25:29.000 --> 00:25:36.000 And so that's how we came to that. 922. Fte number. 00:25:36.000 --> 00:25:47.000 We are going to see a pretty stark. Decrease in the current year. So in fiscal year 2324.

<u>00:25:47.000 --> 00:26:00.000</u>

<u>That primarily is going to be due to 2 factors. One is the, decrease in local option levy revenue</u> that will be coming in.

00:26:00.000 --> 00:26:10.000 As well as the cost, the total. The average total cost of a teacher going up based off of the new.

00:26:10.000 --> 00:26:18.000 Labor agreement.

00:26:18.000 --> 00:26:19.000 Is anybody? Yeah. Yeah.

<u>00:26:19.000 --> 00:26:35.000</u>

To know, I have a question here. I just attended one of the levy support events it's certainly important to our funding here and they are claiming that in going forward the levy will cover 605.

<u>00:26:35.000 --> 00:26:44.000</u> <u>Teacher positions. That is a big difference is that because there are such significant reasons in</u> <u>the coming years or.</u>

00:26:44.000 --> 00:26:51.000 Yes, again, that's the same 2 factors that, reduced, current year.

<u>00:26:51.000 --> 00:27:04.000</u> It's just going to compound. So our estimates for the next year, the the proposed year is 106 million for.

00:27:04.000 --> 00:27:17.000 Local option levy revenue. So it's about a little over Almost 3 million less. And the cost of teachers will be going up as well.

00:27:17.000 --> 00:27:22.000 And so those 2 factors are really compounding this issue.

00:27:22.000 --> 00:27:39.000

So I guess I'd like to ask staff and the committee as well would you be comfortable with the line in there in the letter I know this has been pretty much a form letter but just offering a little bit of qualification why there's gonna change because I think Anyone in the public might wonder?

00:27:39.000 --> 00:27:47.000 Especially with the number that's kind of being shared around.

00:27:47.000 --> 00:27:50.000 I see Roger.

00:27:50.000 --> 00:27:56.000 Yeah, I'd support doing that.

00:27:56.000 --> 00:28:03.000 You know, used a different term, but, Basically, you're, you're saying.

00:28:03.000 --> 00:28:15.000 The collective bargaining agreement up. Settlement has greatly affected the total number. So. Forthcoming.

00:28:15.000 --> 00:28:16.000 Is that correct? <u>00:28:16.000 --> 00:28:32.000</u> Yes, that is correct. As well as the decrease in in revenue overall. And that 600 kind of estimate number for this next 5 years is to.

00:28:32.000 --> 00:28:47.000

Make sure that you know as the years go on not just next year, but this the the number of FTE that the local option that we can provide is going to get less and less as.

00:28:47.000 --> 00:28:58.000

You know, salaries continue to increase year over year. And so if the revenue coming in from the local option does not.

00:28:58.000 --> 00:29:22.000

Kind of keep pace with that. We will kind of continue to see that decline. So that's 600, whatever the 600 number FTE that is being proposed right now is to kind of is kind of like that bottom line that that number that we want to hit even in the 5th year when it's going to be really hard to.

00:29:22.000 --> 00:29:25.000 Hit that number. Yeah.

00:29:25.000 --> 00:29:32.000 Appreciate the explanation. I see, Grace.

<u>00:29:32.000 --> 00:29:45.000</u> <u>Hi, I'm fine with putting something in the in the report you're going to write. Just you know</u> <u>balancing it's the same thing that we're seeing in The GEN fund and everywhere else that.</u>

00:29:45.000 --> 00:29:56.000 Our costs are increasing faster than our revenues.

00:29:56.000 --> 00:29:58.000 Yeah, great. Tess.

00:29:58.000 --> 00:30:03.000 Yeah, Maria, I agree too with you and Grace and Mr. Roger. Yeah, I think we should.

00:30:03.000 --> 00:30:06.000 Include that.

00:30:06.000 --> 00:30:19.000 Fantastic. Staff, would that be most appropriate to include a line or 2 about that in the levy, memo or would that be better to include in the Cbc's main report?

00:30:19.000 --> 00:30:32.000 Well, I think we have some additional content that was also suggested around the upcoming

renewal. So, you know, I think it would be, I think it It may be more visible.

I'm not I'm actually not sure. I think you wanna make sure that it's visible to the community and because this is typically almost a template that where we are filling in some blanks maybe it would be more visible within the report or it could be within both.

00:30:48.000 --> 00:30:51.000 Director Sullivan, I think you had your hand up first.st

00:30:51.000 --> 00:30:56.000 Yes, I think it is in the report, isn't it? Page 3.

<u>00:30:56.000 --> 00:31:10.000</u> <u>Number 2 cost rising faster than revenues It states that. Pretty well, although it does say. That</u> <u>they think next year the 3% from the proposed budget isn't enough.</u> 00:31:10.000 --> 00:31:19.000 We have to go back and check and see exactly how much more the contract is next. The following year.

<u>00:31:19.000 --> 00:31:20.000</u> <u>No.</u>

00:31:20.000 --> 00:31:31.000 But I think it does a pretty good job of explaining. Why? We got less money.

<u>00:31:31.000 --> 00:31:32.000</u> <u>Hello, mister.</u>

<u>00:31:32.000 --> 00:31:38.000</u> <u>Yes, Patty, we're talking about the the local option levy report, not the CBRC report that you're looking at.</u>

00:31:38.000 --> 00:31:39.000 So we're talking about adding. That explanation for why. Yeah, bye.

00:31:39.000 --> 00:31:47.000 Oh. Oh. I see. Okav. So it's expected in our regular report. Good.

00:31:47.000 --> 00:31:48.000 Thank you.

<u>00:31:48.000 --> 00:31:52.000</u> <u>Right.</u>

00:31:52.000 --> 00:31:54.000 Roger, did you have another? Comment.

00:31:54.000 --> 00:32:04.000 Ljust would encourage you to put it in the local option.

00:32:04.000 --> 00:32:11.000 Okay, Maria, do you feel, do you wanna draft the language?

00:32:11.000 --> 00:32:13.000 For that.

00:32:13.000 --> 00:32:20.000 I will if the committee is comfortable with me drafting that language, not, right this moment.

<u>00:32:20.000 --> 00:32:38.000</u> We can do that either towards the end of the meeting or if we back time then. Independently but the spirit of that language would be I, that the support created by the local option levy.

<u>00:32:38.000 --> 00:32:54.000</u> Will be reduced in coming years both because of reduction in that support and, the increased cost of, teacher salaries established in collective bargaining.

00:32:54.000 --> 00:33:04.000 Sounds good. So I'll let you. We can move through the report.

<u>00:33:04.000 --> 00:33:11.000</u> Oh, fantastic. Well, we begin with just a quick preamble, a reminder of the board goals and just a note.

00:33:11.000 --> 00:33:18.000 That this past year. That we've looked at in this report really kind of 2 2 board goals. <u>00:33:18.000 --> 00:33:26.000</u> The 3rd grade meeting goal and the early literacy competency and the graduation goal we have not delved into.

00:33:26.000 --> 00:33:31.000 5th grade math and 8th grade.

00:33:31.000 --> 00:33:39.000 Readiness. This year I think that's fine given our time constraints. And given the fact that.

00:33:39.000 --> 00:33:50.000 Bye and large these changes are. Pretty sweeping and affect all 4 of the goals. So I think that's reflected there.

00:33:50.000 --> 00:34:04.000 In increase just size. I'm on a small laptop right now. Thank you. Apologies.

<u>00:34:04.000 --> 00:34:07.000</u> <u>Alright.</u>

00:34:07.000 --> 00:34:11.000 Did that change the size? If I move it. I don't think it does, right?

<u>00:34:11.000 --> 00:34:15.000</u> <u>I'm, I'm not seeing, I'm not seeing a document now.</u>

00:34:15.000 --> 00:34:19.000 Oh, okay. Let me start.

<u>00:34:19.000 --> 00:34:20.000</u> <u>Okay.</u>

00:34:20.000 --> 00:34:26.000 I can just speak to the kind of the next. The next piece is we wanna acknowledge.

<u>00:34:26.000 --> 00:34:34.000</u> <u>The need to focus on. You know, kind of the core needs of our students and also reducing.</u> <u>Academic and racial disparities.</u>

00:34:34.000 --> 00:34:41.000 And that smaller class sizes are an important piece of that. If you want to page down a little bit.

<u>00:34:41.000 --> 00:34:49.000</u> And noting that with structural costs. So there's a question about how would class sizes go up if we had fewer students.

<u>00:34:49.000 --> 00:35:00.000</u> <u>Class sizes are likely to go up as, the report notes. To some degree. Because we have kind of fixed structural costs.</u>

<u>00:35:00.000 --> 00:35:07.000</u> And also labor costs have increased. So that's why we are likely to see larger class sizes.

00:35:07.000 --> 00:35:16.000 There's actually hard for me to believe. When I look at helpful some of our classes are physically.

00:35:16.000 --> 00:35:26.000 So we've looked at. Yeah, kind of the structural changes. We note, that there's an uneven progress, that we've seen.

00:35:26.000 --> 00:35:35.000

Not a drastic decline in the graduation, rate and some of that may be kind of left over for the pandemic.

00:35:35.000 --> 00:35:46.000 But that that is not even distributed. Overall schools or. It has a racial component as well.

<u>00:35:46.000 --> 00:35:59.000</u> We've taken a second to note in this report. The impacts of. Basically, the strike here, on, instructional time and on the budget.

00:35:59.000 --> 00:36:06.000 And that those are likely to impact student needs.

00:36:06.000 --> 00:36:13.000 I think the point there was just simply noting that between that and using up. Using up our spare days.

00:36:13.000 --> 00:36:23.000 In the calendar, our students are not getting the, the full number of hours. I understand that at the state level we've received a waiver since it's not problematic for the district.

00:36:23.000 --> 00:36:29.000 But I think it's problematic for students.

00:36:29.000 --> 00:36:33.000 Yeah, moving on a little bit here and please, if you've questions, yeah, great.

00:36:33.000 --> 00:36:40.000 Go ahead.

00:36:40.000 --> 00:36:47.000 Sorry, sorry. Sorry. I'm 2 places trying to work on my computer and my phone at the same time.

<u>00:36:47.000 --> 00:36:50.000</u> <u>Yeah, I just wanted to work on my computer and my phone at same time. Yeah, I just wanted to speak to the comment I made.</u>

<u>00:36:50.000 --> 00:37:02.000</u> <u>You know, when we were working on the draft. About I think I would just either I read it too fast or there was missing a word because it sounded like It was written as we were missing 900 h of instruction.</u>

00:37:02.000 --> 00:37:10.000 I was like, wait a minute, that's how many hours we're supposed to have. So I think I just read, I just read it too fast.

00:37:10.000 --> 00:37:11.000 I think I went back.

00:37:11.000 --> 00:37:14.000 So that's why I flagged that earlier. So. Yeah, it's very important to show that that instructional.

00:37:14.000 --> 00:37:19.000 Pieces is part of our current climate.

00:37:19.000 --> 00:37:26.000 Yeah. Yeah. If you want to scroll up, I believe, I. Rework that language a little bit.

00:37:26.000 --> 00:37:32.000 Okay, it's just down on the next page.

00:37:32.000 --> 00:37:41.000

Which leaves some K through 8 students short of the 900 h required.

00:37:41.000 --> 00:37:49.000

So if we think that we need to clarify that further, I can, but the idea was that short of not, 900 h short.

<u>00:37:49.000 --> 00:38:00.000</u> I didn't wanna specify how many hours people were short. Because It kind of varies as my understanding based on grade level.

00:38:00.000 --> 00:38:01.000 Moving on here, we're noting again the trend of declining enrollment.

00:38:01.000 --> 00:38:14.000 You base that on. Psu data that forecasts. You know, family enrollment rates, demographic trends and so forth.

00:38:14.000 --> 00:38:25.000 And just that that has a long term cost where we have these. You know, buildings and maintenance costs on our books.

00:38:25.000 --> 00:38:34.000 Kinda continue here unless there's questions. So recommendations. So that we continue outreach.

00:38:34.000 --> 00:38:39.000 Efforts. And

00:38:39.000 --> 00:38:46.000 And I would just say we should all be a part of the outreach efforts. We're all involved in the system and care about it.

<u>00:38:46.000 --> 00:38:56.000</u> And continued advocacy of course at the state level. For funding. Think we, going on, we note that costs are rising faster than our revenues.

00:38:56.000 --> 00:39:14.000

We know some of those costs here. I think the point to me to diving into this is when I was just a member of the public looking at PPS 2 point you know now it's nearly 2.4 billion dollar budget.

<u>00:39:14.000 --> 00:39:26.000</u> <u>I didn't understand whether it wasn't money and what that money went to. And so wanted to break it out here in terms of what's actually available and what the costs are that are So we note in here things that, you know, obligations we need to keep like PERS.</u>

00:39:26.000 --> 00:39:40.000 And, and so forth. We also note here, that they're projecting in budget increase is smaller than the the negotiated cost of living increases and so forth.

00:39:40.000 --> 00:39:50.000 So we can run into targeting classic in the future. We also certainly will.

<u>00:39:50.000 --> 00:40:07.000</u> And we have in here for our recommendation. Simply that in the climate of unknown. So the districts model as presented.

00:40:07.000 --> 00:40:12.000 Please correct me if I'm wrong here. 2026. If there were no changes in funding.

00:40:12.000 --> 00:40:16.000 That would draw our reserve to 0. <u>00:40:16.000 --> 00:40:25.000</u> So while we want to be cautious in terms of staff reductions and things to meet that. We don't want to count on the legislature.

<u>00:40:25.000 --> 00:40:34.000</u> What they are going to do and the mood of the public next year is a bit unknown.

00:40:34.000 --> 00:40:47.000 So we better have the cake hard. That's my editorializing there. Give me a bond.

<u>00:40:47.000 --> 00:40:48.000</u> <u>Okay.</u>

<u>00:40:48.000 --> 00:40:55.000</u> <u>Yes, I think I wanted to pass here just, because we've had this, you know, framed in the public conversation.</u>

<u>00:40:55.000 --> 00:41:05.000</u> <u>It so in future years where we've discussed drawing down our reserves. We've also just</u> <u>discussed, action taken on the expenditure side in terms of our planned expenditures to avoid</u> <u>that.</u>

00:41:05.000 --> 00:41:24.000 So I think that I see this as suggesting that the district is relying on. Potential revenue.

00:41:24.000 --> 00:41:37.000 That may not. Appear or be there. But we've discussed reducing expenditures to avoid. Drawing down our reserves.

00:41:37.000 --> 00:41:45.000 I'm sorry if I was incorrect. Budget or a projection with a 0 based. Reserve.

00:41:45.000 --> 00:41:48.000 That was my recollection of my notes for a past meeting

<u>00:41:48.000 --> 00:41:56.000</u> <u>I think we're suggesting there are 2 trajectories. So if we do not take action on the expenditure side or we do not receive revenue.</u>

<u>00:41:56.000 --> 00:42:05.000</u> <u>Than we are drawing down our reserves. If we do take action and reduce expenditures or we receive more revenue, then we can maintain our 5% reserve.</u>

00:42:05.000 --> 00:42:07.000 Stefan, I see your hand.

<u>00:42:07.000 --> 00:42:10.000</u> Okay.

00:42:10.000 --> 00:42:12.000 Yeah, you say that the, protection doesn't take action or expenditures.

00:42:12.000 --> 00:42:21.000 I think my my own guess where is that it might already do that and my take on this protected budget.

00:42:21.000 --> 00:42:25.000 And my take on this protected budget for the next year is that it's some sort of a balancing act.

<u>00:42:25.000 --> 00:42:38.000</u> <u>Of, you know, raising that issue by also keeping things somewhat look. Fine. And then how it's</u> going to play out, it's going to be next year. 00:42:38.000 --> 00:42:50.000 So, I think that, sorry, that's just my, interpretation of it's hard to, you know, it's hard to make for sense of it because we're just aggregate numbers.

00:42:50.000 --> 00:42:57.000 I don't have an issue with this. I just wanted to. To make sure it wasn't.

<u>00:42:57.000 --> 00:42:58.000</u> <u>Yep.</u>

00:42:58.000 --> 00:43:03.000 I think. Sorry, I think you might say, but to say it's perhaps a more general, right?

00:43:03.000 --> 00:43:15.000 I mean, like essentially like, the district doesn't necessarily assume higher increases. It just it shows that there's some changes that need to have.

00:43:15.000 --> 00:43:21.000 For the upcoming budget or is that something we should highlight?

00:43:21.000 --> 00:43:28.000 Is that does that make more sense?

00:43:28.000 --> 00:43:31.000 What do you think, Ryan?

00:43:31.000 --> 00:43:37.000 I mean, I wrote it uncomfortable as it stands. I will turn this over to Roger.

<u>00:43:37.000 --> 00:43:48.000</u> In that we were asked. Last year What is our position about reserves? I think we do have to redress it.

00:43:48.000 --> 00:43:59.000 And, and I, I, would encourage the committee to take a position that we don't.

00:43:59.000 --> 00:44:05.000 Indoors, going below the 5% and,

00:44:05.000 --> 00:44:15.000 Whatever that means. Encouraging the legislature to increase its funding.

00:44:15.000 --> 00:44:18.000 Cutting elsewhere within the

<u>00:44:18.000 --> 00:44:29.000</u> <u>The budget but but Reserve policy was adopted. 10 since I was on this committee. We were the initiators of that.</u>

00:44:29.000 --> 00:44:33.000 Recommendation that

00:44:33.000 --> 00:44:39.000 l encourage us to. At least, maintain the 5%.

00:44:39.000 --> 00:44:43.000 Could we scroll down? Yeah.

00:44:43.000 --> 00:44:50.000 Okay, so I think we have that in there if that makes sure. Yes. <u>00:44:50.000 --> 00:44:54.000</u> Moving on here, we talk about deferred maintenance. Roger I think we may need to update these numbers.

00:44:54.000 --> 00:45:04.000 I can get them if we don't have those. I think I've left in the portion last year.

00:45:04.000 --> 00:45:14.000 Hi, basically. I didn't touch them because, But, Alexandra, you might want to.

00:45:14.000 --> 00:45:24.000 Include that paragraph that you gave me, that, the staff reported that what they intend to do.

00:45:24.000 --> 00:45:28.000 This next year.

00:45:28.000 --> 00:45:40.000 Hi. I'll jump I have in in the addendum that I submitted to Alexandra.

<u>00:45:40.000 --> 00:45:41.000</u> <u>Yeah.</u>

00:45:41.000 --> 00:45:44.000 Because I go off in committee. I, recommend that,

<u>00:45:44.000 --> 00:45:45.000</u> <u>Yeah.</u>

<u>00:45:45.000 --> 00:45:59.000</u> Oh variety organization. So. Lobby hard to not only revise their GM. But to to establish a 1st priority of.

00:45:59.000 --> 00:46:05.000 Education funding for K, pre-K through 16.

00:46:05.000 --> 00:46:17.000 I said in a call recently. With the PTA. Where, outgoing senator.

<u>00:46:17.000 --> 00:46:29.000</u> Then, well, spoke about all the many demands that are. Are placed on the legislature and believe me they have no small company to deal with.

00:46:29.000 --> 00:46:33.000 And, but who knows maybe They need to increase taxes. I don't know.

<u>00:46:33.000 --> 00:46:39.000</u> <u>But.</u>

00:46:39.000 --> 00:46:48.000 We're not the only district that's hurting, badly. Okay.

00:46:48.000 --> 00:46:55.000 I can resend the content on the different maintenance.

<u>00:46:55.000 --> 00:46:58.000</u> Okay.

<u>00:46:58.000 --> 00:46:59.000</u> <u>Okay.</u>

<u>00:46:59.000 --> 00:47:02.000</u> Okay. If you wanna. Or either of us can input that that information there but I think no surprises. 00:47:02.000 --> 00:47:09.000 We call for the district to continue as part of our I mean, I just wanna know like.

00:47:09.000 --> 00:47:24.000 School buildings are community pubs as well as schools. Reforms the budget process, CBRC, we're supporting the district's efforts to You know, make our processes more transparent and accessible to the general public.

<u>00:47:24.000 --> 00:47:35.000</u> <u>I think we've had that. We've had more public comment this year. So again, we request, that PBS share the individual school level data.</u>

<u>00:47:35.000 --> 00:47:48.000</u> We talk about public benefit from that. Also, the school continuous improvement, not plans. We asked for continued application of the racial and social justice lens.

00:47:48.000 --> 00:47:56.000 And, encourage the district to continue.

00:47:56.000 --> 00:47:58.000 Nice.

<u>00:47:58.000 --> 00:48:08.000</u> When you say sharing school level data. Do you mean what's in that? Volume 2 or do you mean something more than that different than that?

00:48:08.000 --> 00:48:24.000

Well, this might be my personal crusade, but I personally have asked for and feel that the district should email out the one pager to each of the schools and that the district should email out the one pager to each of the schools and their PTAs so that people are email out the one pager to email out the one pager to each of the schools in their PTA so that people are actually aware of it because I

00:48:24.000 --> 00:48:25.000 Oh, the one page that's in the. Are there?

00:48:25.000 --> 00:48:32.000 know publicly available, but. I think that. Yeah, and it may be a summary. It's, it's just hard to access, you know, to access it.

00:48:32.000 --> 00:48:33.000 200 page document.

00:48:33.000 --> 00:48:36.000 Oh yeah, I guess everybody had that on their computer, but it's really hard to read that way.

<u>00:48:36.000 --> 00:48:37.000</u> <u>Yeah. So.</u>

<u>00:48:37.000 --> 00:48:42.000</u> Okay, I see. So just to send out their individual school stuff. Okay, thank you.

00:48:42.000 --> 00:48:50.000 I can remove that if no one else feels the same way, but.

00:48:50.000 --> 00:48:58.000 I wouldn't remove it. As they were there. In fact, it, it really what you're suggesting.

<u>00:48:58.000 --> 00:49:08.000</u> <u>Mimics an effort that was done for a few years. Where where an executive summary of the. Of the proposed budget was.</u> 00:49:08.000 --> 00:49:16.000 Disseminated to. To schools and so on. The adoption of the final budget.

<u>00:49:16.000 --> 00:49:18.000</u> But,

00:49:18.000 --> 00:49:43.000 That's gone by the board. In recent years. But, but I do think schools need to be appraised as as the what what's going on at their own school and And then, and reference, where it's found in, I am too so they can see what What is done with all the other schools though?

00:49:43.000 --> 00:49:51.000 The Title One, the CSI TSI. Schools are still continued. Remarkably higher funding.

00:49:51.000 --> 00:50:02.000 And, then, does the Lincoln High School that was cited earlier. You know, they're, They're all about half of what.

<u>00:50:02.000 --> 00:50:11.000</u> <u>Many.</u>

00:50:11.000 --> 00:50:19.000 Thank you. Roger. Is there specific revision that you'd want there?

00:50:19.000 --> 00:50:27.000 Okay, I see Grace.

00:50:27.000 --> 00:50:33.000 I'll take a hand, try to draft something.

00:50:33.000 --> 00:50:41.000 I'm wondering how the committee feels about adding a recommendation on the section of the.

00:50:41.000 --> 00:51:08.000

Reforms to the budget process to. Encourage or ask the district to I mean I think we're asking for more information in general on the budget and one thing that would be interesting to to see either at the committee level or wider public level is if they continue the efforts of surveying leadership.

<u>00:51:08.000 --> 00:51:22.000</u> You know, to see that survey data. And to understand that more. At not such a high, high level.

00:51:22.000 --> 00:51:32.000 Of what what was put in the budget. Statements but like you know what we're what were the trends and what did they see?

<u>00:51:32.000 --> 00:51:44.000</u> And also I would be interested in you know, having them mask the questions of. Throughout our report we're talking about direct service.

<u>00:51:44.000 --> 00:51:55.000</u> Staff, asking questions of direct service staff too about their thoughts on the budget. IT would be. Interested in asking for or encouraging.

<u>00:51:55.000 --> 00:52:05.000</u> You just just more, more surveys. Of staff like they did this year with the school administrators.

<u>00:52:05.000 --> 00:52:15.000</u> So my reading this right and I remembering that we have this, so sorry, we have this in here for as one of our recommendations show to school a bunch of documents.

00:52:15.000 --> 00:52:20.000

Do we just wanna add line in here and administrator surveys? I thought we actually had this in the document already.

00:52:20.000 --> 00:52:25.000 I remember that as a comment or a suggestion that I don't, I mean, and this is where it was.

00:52:25.000 --> 00:52:26.000 So. should we add?

00:52:26.000 --> 00:52:35.000 Okay. Okay, I thought I had it. Accepted that change and apologies if that got lost. Yeah, certainly.

<u>00:52:35.000 --> 00:52:37.000</u> Yeah.

<u>00:52:37.000 --> 00:52:38.000</u> <u>Yeah.</u>

<u>00:52:38.000 --> 00:52:48.000</u> Yeah, I'm still a little bit confused about what that says. Is it saying? It says we repeat our request from last year.

<u>00:52:48.000 --> 00:52:49.000</u> <u>Correct.</u>

00:52:49.000 --> 00:52:54.000 Does that mean it didn't happen this year or that you want it happen again? I thought I really thought they sent everybody out.

00:52:54.000 --> 00:53:03.000 By, email. The board books. I mean.

00:53:03.000 --> 00:53:04.000 Yeah.

00:53:04.000 --> 00:53:07.000 So they do they do send out the superintendent does send out the board books. What the specific ask there is that they pulled out, I would say the executive summary.

00:53:07.000 --> 00:53:16.000 I would leave this up to staff. They want that to. But the relevant school information.

00:53:16.000 --> 00:53:17.000 I mean, that would increase accessibility.

<u>00:53:17.000 --> 00:53:21.000</u> <u>Okay, so that people don't have to find it themselves. So. It sounds like we're hiding it that we just</u> <u>sent it all out.</u>

<u>00:53:21.000 --> 00:53:26.000</u> <u>So I don't know just to somehow. Stated that.</u>

00:53:26.000 --> 00:53:29.000 We could just say it plainly.

00:53:29.000 --> 00:53:30.000 Yeah, no, the district is.

<u>00:53:30.000 --> 00:53:34.000</u> <u>I don't know. We, I, I don't know how to say it, but it just sounds like.</u>

00:53:34.000 --> 00:53:35.000

<u>Hmm.</u>

00:53:35.000 --> 00:53:42.000 We requested it last year and it didn't happen. But I know it's all out there for anybody to see.

<u>00:53:42.000 --> 00:53:46.000</u> <u>So.</u>

00:53:46.000 --> 00:53:47.000 How does it?

00:53:47.000 --> 00:53:53.000 Alexander, would you mind editing to say we, we request? TPS shirt.

<u>00:53:53.000 --> 00:53:55.000</u> <u>To share.</u>

00:53:55.000 --> 00:54:09.000 School. Summaries.

<u>00:54:09.000 --> 00:54:10.000</u> Yeah.

00:54:10.000 --> 00:54:13.000 As a pull out from the budget document. With the individual schools. And PTA. Would that cover it?

00:54:13.000 --> 00:54:15.000 That was the intent there.

00:54:15.000 --> 00:54:18.000 Yeah, yeah, that makes a lot more sense.

<u>00:54:18.000 --> 00:54:22.000</u> <u>Okay.</u>

00:54:22.000 --> 00:54:28.000 I thought I saw another hand section.

<u>00:54:28.000 --> 00:54:29.000</u> Okay.

00:54:29.000 --> 00:54:32.000 Oh, it. I've did raise my hand a minute ago, but you already rework the sentence.

00:54:32.000 --> 00:54:37.000 So it's all good now.

00:54:37.000 --> 00:54:46.000 Should I capture the bullet point, Maria, that you had intended to accept from Grace around? The school leader.

00:54:46.000 --> 00:55:00.000 Okay. I'll type that.

00:55:00.000 --> 00:55:08.000 We recommend that the district share survey data. In more detail or.

<u>00:55:08.000 --> 00:55:12.000</u> Yeah, just administrator survey. Data.

00:55:12.000 --> 00:55:39.000

I know, and I' we're gonna be doing more, give them the constraints.

00:55:39.000 --> 00:55:41.000 Roger jump in.

00:55:41.000 --> 00:55:51.000 Yeah, I. wonder whether Grace of, doesn't agree that.

00:55:51.000 --> 00:56:03.000 It seems like. Schools are being inundated with multiple surveys. And, and the

00:56:03.000 --> 00:56:10.000 In the years that I've been on the committee, it seems to me. When we do get public comment.

00:56:10.000 --> 00:56:17.000 It's at the end when we're dealing with a proposed budget and so on and And there's a positive.

00:56:17.000 --> 00:56:24.000 Of a misunderstanding or a lack of knowledge of.

00:56:24.000 --> 00:56:28.000 What true data is or

00:56:28.000 --> 00:56:36.000 How the, even the budget was constructed and,

00:56:36.000 --> 00:56:46.000 Perhaps. This solution is, this suggestion is up. Is a solution or works toward that.

<u>00:56:46.000 --> 00:56:59.000</u> I'm not I'm not confident it will be, but anyway. Let's leave it in.

00:56:59.000 --> 00:57:05.000 Maria, are you on mute?

00:57:05.000 --> 00:57:07.000 A lot of buttons.

00:57:07.000 --> 00:57:12.000 Yeah. Yes. if we wanna page down a bit.

<u>00:57:12.000 --> 00:57:24.000</u>

<u>Okay, I just wanted to highlight so folks were aware that I also grace you had in your verbal</u> comments just now you said continue to you suggested we continue to survey PPS communities on the budget.

<u>00:57:24.000 --> 00:57:33.000</u> <u>I think that was essentially what you said and so I included that here. I want to make sure that's okay.</u>

<u>00:57:33.000 --> 00:57:38.000</u> Yes, for some reason I'm having a hard time seeing the report. I think it's my computer connection.

00:57:38.000 --> 00:57:42.000 But as you're editing it, I have the other, I have another copy on another tab.

<u>00:57:42.000 --> 00:57:51.000</u> <u>Okay.</u>

<u>00:57:51.000 --> 00:57:52.000</u> Okav. 00:57:52.000 --> 00:57:57.000 I think my, comments is. As a PPS direct service provider. Just be nice to be asked.

00:57:57.000 --> 00:57:58.000 So including direct service providers.

00:57:58.000 --> 00:58:05.000 You know, went Yeah.

<u>00:58:05.000 --> 00:58:06.000</u> Okay.

00:58:06.000 --> 00:58:13.000 Good morning. Oh, I think. Okay.

<u>00:58:13.000 --> 00:58:29.000</u> If you go ahead and, so kind of a reiteration of things we've said before, continue to recruit your team background and, folks, and staff.

<u>00:58:29.000 --> 00:58:35.000</u> <u>I'll be asking for opportunities on the 8% staffing model. And I think we probably have some discussion here.</u>

00:58:35.000 --> 00:58:49.000 Okay, like, Raja, I see you.

<u>00:58:49.000 --> 00:58:50.000</u> <u>Hmm.</u>

<u>00:58:50.000 --> 00:58:56.000</u> Yeah, thank you. I just have a quick question about the remaining balance of ester funds. And where is the Esther funds going to be earmarked and if the committee can make a recommendation.

00:58:56.000 --> 00:59:01.000 Where those funds could be earmarked.

<u>00:59:01.000 --> 00:59:02.000</u> Thank you. We are still planning to spend down ESSER within the current fiscal year.

<u>00:59:02.000 --> 00:59:21.000</u> So we are not planning. Esser dollars for the coming school year. And Do you know, let me know if you have any other information that might be helpful on that.

00:59:21.000 --> 00:59:35.000 Yeah, you are correct. There won't be any S or dollars. Any at any point next year, we are looking to spend it fully with in this fiscal year, correct?

00:59:35.000 --> 00:59:39.000 Okay, thank you. I thought we had until September. Is that correct?

00:59:39.000 --> 00:59:47.000 Yes, that's true. But, I think though that. For.

00:59:47.000 --> 00:59:53.000 Our main purpose is whether or not the dollars are spent on ESSER or GEN fund.

<u>00:59:53.000 --> 00:59:55.000</u> <u>Yeah,</u>

00:59:55.000 --> 01:00:10.000

It's still district dollars that are being spent on those services. So if we are able to spend down Esser in this current year, then that just means those are Gen fund dollars that we don't have to spend on those.

01:00:10.000 --> 01:00:14.000 Items this current year and that means

01:00:14.000 --> 01:00:20.000 We can use those gen fund dollars next year to support. Whatever. that is whatever needs that we have next year.

<u>01:00:20.000 --> 01:00:38.000</u>

So it's kind of all the same pool of dollars in that sense. And for accounting purposes and for projection purposes and estimating purposes, a lot easier if we just spend down ESER now and not have to.

01:00:38.000 --> 01:00:46.000 Account for another 2, 3 months. Next year it's a lot cleaner fiscalally if we just spend it down.

01:00:46.000 --> 01:00:52.000 This year and have a little bit more carryover from Genfund. Does that answer your question?

<u>01:00:52.000 --> 01:01:04.000</u>

Yeah, so basically you have to spend the funds this fiscal year do you know where it's gonna be earmarked for this particular fiscal year?

01:01:04.000 --> 01:01:16.000 I don't have the exact details. Kristen, who is our, grant manager would have those details, but we can get those, details for you.

<u>01:01:16.000 --> 01:01:17.000</u> Yeah.

01:01:17.000 --> 01:01:22.000 Thank you.

01:01:22.000 --> 01:01:25.000 No, please share your hand up.

01:01:25.000 --> 01:01:35.000

Yes, okay, surrounding the foundations discussion that was brought up in public comment earlier and Alexander also just sent you an email with some statistics that are kind of in regard to the foundation if you'd like to present that as well.

01:01:35.000 --> 01:01:50.000 But I just wanted to like very much highly here. I'm not sure who included this language in the presentation or the recommendation.

<u>01:01:50.000 --> 01:01:55.000</u> Because I personally don't remember being discussed within CBRC, but There is definitely some issues regarding.

<u>01:01:55.000 --> 01:02:16.000</u>

You know, the direct correlation between like unequal foundations and you know the income of the school and the area around the school and the races that are represented in the school very often and coming from Franklin coming from a school that barely has a, at all.

01:02:16.000 --> 01:02:22.000 I believe it's an active this year, but, you know, I think.

<u>01:02:22.000 --> 01:02:32.000</u>

Putting any language support porting the continuation of foundations is static questionable, especially given that it is up for a board vote pretty soon.

01:02:32.000 --> 01:02:40.000 So. I would like to reconsider putting that in the report at all.

01:02:40.000 --> 01:02:41.000 And potentially even putting to support the other argument.

01:02:41.000 --> 01:02:48.000 They hope they can. Yeah. May 11.

01:02:48.000 --> 01:02:54.000 5 to be on happy hour.

<u>01:02:54.000 --> 01:03:02.000</u> <u>Yes. Thank you for that, Luke. I think I had some similar concerns last year as well, that I brought up.</u>

01:03:02.000 --> 01:03:11.000 And about the inequities of the foundations and at that time if I remember correctly the response was something like that really wasn't.

<u>01:03:11.000 --> 01:03:17.000</u> <u>CBRCs area to have input in and how we came back around to that is I don't really know what the resolution was on that, but I agree that that language either needs to be.</u>

01:03:17.000 --> 01:03:28.000 Or changed in some way, but those inequities are. Are just growing, at a rapid rate.

01:03:28.000 --> 01:03:36.000 And so I think we really do need to revisit that language.

01:03:36.000 --> 01:03:46.000 I think that thought behind leaving the, the current policy untouched. Is that we are in a very difficult budgetary environment.

01:03:46.000 --> 01:03:53.000 I'll just share that my daughter, is in a 1st grade class with 31 kids, and needs reading support.

01:03:53.000 --> 01:04:02.000 And I know that they get approximately 2 HA week of extra support for that class that they would not get.

01:04:02.000 --> 01:04:10.000 Because there was some fundraising involved with which you know 30% gets distributed to the district.

<u>01:04:10.000 --> 01:04:11.000</u> <u>Am I correct?</u>

01:04:11.000 --> 01:04:18.000

So just seems like a tough, a tough time to, to remove that funding. When we haven't fixed the larger funding.

<u>01:04:18.000 --> 01:04:28.000</u>

<u>I think we can all agree that what we really want is for the state to adequately fund our schools so</u> that we don't have to rely on charity at any level.

01:04:28.000 --> 01:04:32.000 Am I correct, Maria, that you are an Alameda parent? 01:04:32.000 --> 01:04:35.000 I'm in Alameda and a B.

01:04:35.000 --> 01:04:42.000 Yeah. I would like to point out that like Alameda has in a hundred \$1,000 foundation, for this fiscal year.

01:04:42.000 --> 01:04:44.000 Franklin's Foundation is \$500. And that is, you know, and, Let me just.

01:04:44.000 --> 01:04:52.000 Okay. Yeah, I would also like to Sure.

01:04:52.000 --> 01:05:04.000 And, and, and that's, you know, a very small. For Franklin that's not that's not much but that's reflected a lot more in in schools across the district.

01:05:04.000 --> 01:05:11.000 And I think there's a graphic that Alexander is displaying right now. That like shows the direct correlation between.

01:05:11.000 --> 01:05:19.000 The, historically underserved races in the school population and the amount that they're able to, raise through their foundations.

01:05:19.000 --> 01:05:26.000 So. You know, it may be an unstable time for district funding. But all that funding is doing is protecting the schools that.

01:05:26.000 --> 01:05:33.000 Are able to, are able to pay for it and. You know, we can still fundraise if we were.

<u>01:05:33.000 --> 01:05:47.000</u> <u>To transition to like in equitable foundations policy. But we wouldn't have to just allocate it to the schools who will most likely be experiencing the effects of these cuts the least.</u>

<u>01:05:47.000 --> 01:05:49.000</u> <u>Okay</u>.

01:05:49.000 --> 01:06:02.000 Yeah, and I think it also is exacerbates even larger issues. So what's happening is basically, the PPS foundations are perpetuating the same things that we see with, funding for nonprofits as well.

01:06:02.000 --> 01:06:06.000 So it's that same kind of setup of these larger gaps of disparities between these pockets of wealth and where you can.

<u>01:06:06.000 --> 01:06:14.000</u>

Be able to distribute some more of those dollars and for those those schools who don't get to be able.

<u>01:06:14.000 --> 01:06:38.000</u>

To have access to those, it still does limit those opportunities. So again, agreeing with Luke that we do need some sort of policy in place for that equitable distribution of dollars because yes, we do need to call on the state to do more, but at the same time though, we have to find a happy medium for the students to be able to benefit from these philanthropic efforts because this is all also underneath PPS as

<u>01:06:38.000 --> 01:06:40.000</u> <u>well.</u> 01:06:40.000 --> 01:06:43.000 I'm not recognized after Bernard Adams.

<u>01:06:43.000 --> 01:06:53.000</u>

Hi, good evening everyone. I am wondering. I appreciate this conversation and debate. I'm wondering since there is actually a policy.

<u>01:06:53.000 --> 01:07:05.000</u>

On the record and up for a vote that would change this. I am wondering. What the tenor is about the report.

<u>01:07:05.000 --> 01:07:19.000</u>

<u>Given that in that conversation around the policy. There were, I'm thinking about counting the board members votes, right, and I'm director of Solomon is here.</u>

<u>01:07:19.000 --> 01:07:28.000</u>

There were a couple directors that sort of oppose foundation reform. And then there was not a lot of, there was not more than 4.

01:07:28.000 --> 01:07:37.000 And so I'm wondering. What is the right statement that the group wants to make? Knowing that this is likely to change.

01:07:37.000 --> 01:07:45.000 At the next board meeting, guite frankly. I don't want what I would hate to see.

01:07:45.000 --> 01:07:54.000 Is us or the members of this team sort of. Going at each other around something that may resolve. Relatively quickly.

01:07:54.000 --> 01:07:59.000 So I just wanted to throw that into the space and I'm sorry. I know this is your meeting.

01:07:59.000 --> 01:08:04.000 I just wanted to just as an observer share that.

<u>01:08:04.000 --> 01:08:05.000</u> <u>Thank you.</u>

01:08:05.000 --> 01:08:13.000 The option of staying silent on the policy and removing that recommendation. I see. See hands up.

01:08:13.000 --> 01:08:34.000

Yeah, yeah, I just wanna clarify. I don't think anyone was going at it, but I think it's creating a healthy discussion around what we all prioritize and want to see in the schools and so just want to make that clear that I know I can speak for myself and it sounded like Luke the same that that was not the case but just really trying to figure out that resolution for some equity statements and

<u>01:08:34.000 --> 01:08:37.000</u> it's great to hear that there might be a resolution to that soon. So we can revisit it.

01:08:37.000 --> 01:08:51.000 Then, and perhaps, and, and preparation for that conversation, we could just offer some suggestions.

01:08:51.000 --> 01:09:01.000 Yeah, it will probably be resolved at the same night that you present this. So. Yeah, don't want to spend too much time.

<u>01:09:01.000 --> 01:09:02.000</u> Okay. 01:09:02.000 --> 01:09:10.000 I'll just jump in here. What if we keep the 1st part of the recommendation that is that the board figure out long term strategies to enhance equity.

01:09:10.000 --> 01:09:15.000 Is there any disagreement around that statement?

<u>01:09:15.000 --> 01:09:39.000</u>

I do think it is a good opportunity to. Perhaps, support like, foundation funding here. Because I mean just given the fact that we already had the language kind of you know, in the in the in the document and that it is going up for a board about next week, you know, if we do have the powerful the power to influence.

01:09:39.000 --> 01:09:49.000 You know to go on it I think that that is a great use of CBRCs efforts.

01:09:49.000 --> 01:09:50.000 It's exciting.

01:09:50.000 --> 01:09:54.000 Especially, I mean, you know, it might not actually impact anything, but if we are going to be able to sway votes, maybe wanna sway them in the right way.

<u>01:09:54.000 --> 01:09:58.000</u> Yeah.

01:09:58.000 --> 01:10:06.000 Hmm. I'm not sure. If Grace, you wanna jump in here. Okay.

01:10:06.000 --> 01:10:16.000 I'm so sorry, I didn't remember to lower it.

01:10:16.000 --> 01:10:17.000 That looks like.

<u>01:10:17.000 --> 01:10:22.000</u> Yeah, I think, my perspective is that historically my, recollection is that in quite a few of the CBRC reports.

01:10:22.000 --> 01:10:35.000 The the message has been. Concern for. Foundation funding exacerbating inequities.

01:10:35.000 --> 01:10:48.000 And while I Completely understand and. You know, like you said, Mariah, we all agree that kids in schools all of them deserve.

01:10:48.000 --> 01:10:59.000 More, than they're getting right now. I. I'm not comfortable with the draft with that in there.

01:10:59.000 --> 01:11:09.000 I would. I would support some sort of a statement around. Encouraging the district to look for.

01:11:09.000 --> 01:11:26.000 Strategies for long term. Fundraising that would. Equitably. Enhance, you know, the budget for for all important public school students.

01:11:26.000 --> 01:11:43.000 In a way that's not sequestered to. Separate schools. Because we're Portland Public Schools.

01:11:43.000 --> 01:11:46.000 Where is that? What page is that statement on right now? <u>01:11:46.000 --> 01:11:51.000</u> <u>Assistant.</u>

01:11:51.000 --> 01:11:54.000 Yeah, just like that. I. Oh.

<u>01:11:54.000 --> 01:12:13.000</u> <u>Okay. I believe I'm correct. Some foundation support goes to providing a foreign language trips up</u> for a language, aversion, classes.

01:12:13.000 --> 01:12:26.000 And So the purposes of of different fundraising ever. Varied from school to school.

01:12:26.000 --> 01:12:36.000 As Luke. Is aware that Franklin the largest amount that we ever raised. There are foundational link.

01:12:36.000 --> 01:12:48.000 There was about 50 grants and . Lots of skills

01:12:48.000 --> 01:12:59.000 But also, as I. director Sullivan, last meeting.

01:12:59.000 --> 01:13:03.000 Over the last 50 years or better.

01:13:03.000 --> 01:13:14.000 Portland Public Schools says Yes. Directed its individual student funding. So it favors.

01:13:14.000 --> 01:13:27.000 Funding of, Title One, TSI, CSI. Schools or over others that hence you do have the differential.

01:13:27.000 --> 01:13:38.000 Like in high school, funding their students. If you look at that page in. Volume 2, but they get about 66.000.

01:13:38.000 --> 01:13:48.000 6,600 person and Whereas, you start looking at Roosevelt and so on, they're 1314.

01:13:48.000 --> 01:13:49.000 1,000 per student and so on. So you come to really recognize why schools have had these foundations and so on.

01:13:49.000 --> 01:14:05.000 I don't know what the solution is. I was, I did note that in the the presentation given this tonight by Ms. Clark.

01:14:05.000 --> 01:14:13.000 Oh, she did indicate that. There was no change. Recommended. Immediately that that was.

01:14:13.000 --> 01:14:16.000 Ongoing consideration. The

01:14:16.000 --> 01:14:22.000 Revision and that I could go along with.

01:14:22.000 --> 01:14:28.000 Some of that go, what, what, the grace just said.

<u>01:14:28.000 --> 01:14:35.000</u> <u>Well, in the spirit of Kind of continuing through our report. Do we want to look at revising this</u> <u>language?</u> <u>01:14:35.000 --> 01:14:38.000</u> <u>We could.</u>

01:14:38.000 --> 01:14:45.000 Take out a statement of support and leave in a statement of equity.

01:14:45.000 --> 01:14:57.000 There's 2 sentences in that piece and we could continue. We could keep the 1st sentence.

01:14:57.000 --> 01:15:07.000 Could we add a sentence? After it, just specifying that we encourage the district to.

01:15:07.000 --> 01:15:16.000 Work towards. The creating the district. Foundation for funding staffing.

<u>01:15:16.000 --> 01:15:25.000</u> <u>Through fundraising. Cause I think that's the biggest piece is, that. Right now foundations can</u> <u>fund staff.</u>

01:15:25.000 --> 01:15:26.000 Okay, if we if we want to add that then.

01:15:26.000 --> 01:15:33.000 And. We're not saying they've foundations raise money, but you know funding staff specifically.

<u>01:15:33.000 --> 01:15:41.000</u> Yeah, if we want to add that, then since it doesn't seem like this is a consensus report any longer, then we could keep in both recommendations.

01:15:41.000 --> 01:15:58.000 So then we could simply note as we have in pastures that our community has multiple views on this. And we can keep in both.

<u>01:15:58.000 --> 01:16:05.000</u> Do we have thoughts on the direction we wanna go with this? We could keep in the phrase, we encourage the district to develop strategies to decrease.

<u>01:16:05.000 --> 01:16:18.000</u> <u>Inequities long term while providing families and communities the opportunity to support their</u> <u>schools.</u>

01:16:18.000 --> 01:16:22.000 Could you repeat that, sorry.

01:16:22.000 --> 01:16:32.000 So the language here is we encourage the district to develop strategies to decrease inequities long term while providing families and communities the opportunity to support their schools.

01:16:32.000 --> 01:16:42.000 I guess I'm just confused on like, like what? Exactly the wall like still providing families communities opportunities to support their schools.

01:16:42.000 --> 01:16:49.000 Like allude to I feel like it's gonna vague

<u>01:16:49.000 --> 01:16:57.000</u> Yeah, the opportunities to support their schools, I think leave that in, but be specific about whether that's allowed for.

01:16:57.000 --> 01:16:58.000 Allowing for funding staff. 01:16:58.000 --> 01:17:09.000 Hello. How about we just edit this to to the words opportunities to support schools.

01:17:09.000 --> 01:17:15.000 Would that remove the. The concern here. And C.

01:17:15.000 --> 01:17:16.000 Stephen.

01:17:16.000 --> 01:17:27.000 Yeah, I had the same. It's, That'd be my suggestion.

<u>01:17:27.000 --> 01:17:28.000</u> <u>Okay.</u>

<u>01:17:28.000 --> 01:17:33.000</u> So we wanna delete in light of looming budget shortfalls and the, the specific foundation policy.

01:17:33.000 --> 01:17:34.000 We don't have.

<u>01:17:34.000 --> 01:17:39.000</u> You could, we could remove that language if we're going with if we're trying to move to a consensus report.

01:17:39.000 --> 01:17:42.000 That's again, that's up for option here.

01:17:42.000 --> 01:17:47.000 I concur as well with just leaving schools instead of their schools.

01:17:47.000 --> 01:17:50.000 Okay, we could do that.

<u>01:17:50.000 --> 01:17:53.000</u> <u>Okay. So.</u>

<u>01:17:53.000 --> 01:18:12.000</u> Yeah, I'd like to, yeah, I think, I mean, if not even if just were going for a consensus report, but if, you know, if it wasn't on consensus report, I would still say that we should have both.

<u>01:18:12.000 --> 01:18:13.000</u> Okay.

<u>01:18:13.000 --> 01:18:16.000</u> <u>Perspectives in there. And make it you know clear who they're attributing it to I wouldn't be</u> <u>comfortable with that language being put under the general name of the board.</u>

01:18:16.000 --> 01:18:23.000 Do, I'm gonna capture the divergent, views on foundation here.

<u>01:18:23.000 --> 01:18:27.000</u> <u>I don't feel the need to, I feel like we could leave it as a general statement. We've got a lot else to deal with in here in this.</u>

01:18:27.000 --> 01:18:34.000 This is the only thing that we want to hold up on or.

01:18:34.000 --> 01:18:38.000 Stefan, I liked your. 01:18:38.000 --> 01:18:52.000 To support Portland Public Schools. I like that.

01:18:52.000 --> 01:18:54.000 That past muster with most of the committee.

<u>01:18:54.000 --> 01:18:57.000</u> Yes.

01:18:57.000 --> 01:19:06.000 Alright, so. I'll give a minute for any further comment.

01:19:06.000 --> 01:19:15.000 Alright, diving into the direct service. Budgetary decisions that directly impact board goals.

01:19:15.000 --> 01:19:22.000 So we talk about equitable and target direct service staffing allocations. We know that staff has made an effort to minimize the.

01:19:22.000 --> 01:19:33.000 Impact of reduction and sport on students and core operations. That's hard to assess, but I believe that's always the, voice the, effort here.

01:19:33.000 --> 01:19:42.000 And kind of continuing on.

<u>01:19:42.000 --> 01:19:55.000</u> <u>So cuts to direct service staff. We go through the reductions here. Looking at the percentage,</u> <u>decreases and particularly highlighting library and media services.</u>

01:19:55.000 --> 01:19:59.000 If we want to go down to the recommendations.

01:19:59.000 --> 01:20:07.000 So recommendations are prioritizing student facing position positions and continued analysis of contracts for services that may be redundant work.

01:20:07.000 --> 01:20:19.000 And. Analysis of investments in direct. Indirect to non student facing services should include further cuts to direct service to facing positions.

<u>01:20:19.000 --> 01:20:35.000</u> <u>That's our recommendation. With us to reconsider library staffing cuts at K through 5 level and to</u> maintain present hours and library services by reducing budgets elsewhere.

01:20:35.000 --> 01:20:47.000 I would actually propose. In the same.

01:20:47.000 --> 01:21:00.000 I think I would just edit that to save. Maintain present service levels.

<u>01:21:00.000 --> 01:21:01.000</u> <u>Will they?</u>

01:21:01.000 --> 01:21:04.000 So take out the caveat of the just the K 5 or. I guess, is K 5 the only section that got cut?

<u>01:21:04.000 --> 01:21:10.000</u> No, at every level and I think we should change the language.

<u>01:21:10.000 --> 01:21:16.000</u> Yeah. 01:21:16.000 --> 01:21:17.000 If you could. Go ahead.

01:21:17.000 --> 01:21:20.000 And it's not, I don't know if libraries. Sorry, I don't know if libraries and cuts is specific enough.

<u>01:21:20.000 --> 01:21:23.000</u> <u>Sorry.</u>

<u>01:21:23.000 --> 01:21:39.000</u> <u>I believe. The position that is mainly being cut is the is the media system. But I, I think, I mean, you know, I guess there's across the board as well.</u>

<u>01:21:39.000 --> 01:21:53.000</u> Yeah, Luke is, speaking to the fact that Franklin is losing it. So we're not losing a library, but we're losing a key person in the library.

01:21:53.000 --> 01:22:02.000 And and that's because that position That's doesn't fall under the word library, but it falls under.

01:22:02.000 --> 01:22:10.000 Classified staff unrepresented.

01:22:10.000 --> 01:22:13.000 Dr. Adams, I think you had your hand up.

<u>01:22:13.000 --> 01:22:23.000</u> <u>Sure, I just wanted to clarify we shared this last night. At the board, budget hearing and, work</u> <u>session.</u>

01:22:23.000 --> 01:22:33.000 We do not make any changes to the high school library, like teacher librarian or library assistant, I'm sorry about that.

01:22:33.000 --> 01:22:55.000 We did not make any changes to those allocations at the high school level. However, The library assistant position is a position over which principals have discretion and so at some high schools what we're seeing is that principals have made different decisions around that FTE.

01:22:55.000 --> 01:23:07.000 How do you use it? So I just wanted to add that context because I don't want. I would, I wouldn't want the it to be just, characterized as a district cut at the high school level.

01:23:07.000 --> 01:23:13.000 And that wasn't what we did.

01:23:13.000 --> 01:23:19.000 Thank you.

01:23:19.000 --> 01:23:22.000 Director Sullivan, if you were next.

01:23:22.000 --> 01:23:35.000

Yes, and I was actually going to say the same thing. Because, I was corrected last night and that it wasn't like we're saying, okay, the principals have a certain amount of money and they have to decide where they're going to put it.

01:23:35.000 --> 01:23:41.000 And they've got lots of decisions to make. So in some cases, that's where they've decided.

01:23:41.000 --> 01:23:51.000

Just say, so it's not as if we're saying we're gonna cut off. Library, AIDS at high school.

<u>01:23:51.000 --> 01:24:02.000</u>

<u>Please correct me if I'm wrong, but was it not a position that was previously funded by the district</u> and it was that funding was cut not necessarily the position.

01:24:02.000 --> 01:24:04.000 Mike, am I correct?

01:24:04.000 --> 01:24:06.000 Yes, that's what I understand.

<u>01:24:06.000 --> 01:24:13.000</u> The district cut the funding for the position and then left it. Open for the schools to be able to fund that by themselves.

<u>01:24:13.000 --> 01:24:26.000</u> Well, they cut we've had to cut funding period. What? This is, I wish. Young or here, he's the budget person that really can talk to this. But as I understand it, the.

01:24:26.000 --> 01:24:32.000 You know, the principals have so much money to spend and they have to decide where.

<u>01:24:32.000 --> 01:24:40.000</u> <u>And it was less than That was one place. They cut, they probably cut other places too that don't show so much.</u>

<u>01:24:40.000 --> 01:24:41.000</u> <u>Yeah.</u>

01:24:41.000 --> 01:24:44.000 Thank you, Director Sullivan. I can share a little bit. Thank you for that question, Luke.

01:24:44.000 --> 01:24:53.000 At the high school level. There was a reduction in a pot of funding for FTE. That's called discretionary.

01:24:53.000 --> 01:25:08.000 And the library assistants do fall into that funding pot. And so because there was a reduction there. We have seen some building leaders make decisions about whether or not to fund.

01:25:08.000 --> 01:25:15.000 That particular position of a library assistant moving forward into next year. That would be an accurate assessment. Thank you.

01:25:15.000 --> 01:25:20.000 For the question.

01:25:20.000 --> 01:25:27.000 Okay, so to clarify, there was cuts to the spending. In general, in toward from the district level.

<u>01:25:27.000 --> 01:25:38.000</u>

Yes, there were there were spending cuts at all levels of school those spending cuts, however, were differentiated, right?

01:25:38.000 --> 01:25:48.000 So at the elementary and middle school level. The district said we are not funding library assistance at all. At the high school level, we said you have this.

<u>01:25:48.000 --> 01:26:01.000</u> <u>Part of money and funding for FTE called Discretionary. And we're reducing that for budgetary</u> <u>reasons.</u> 01:26:01.000 --> 01:26:02.000 And I was just gonna time it.

01:26:02.000 --> 01:26:14.000

So I have a question about that because in the budget book. In in volume one in the budget book in the informational section on school staffing.

<u>01:26:14.000 --> 01:26:24.000</u>

There's a specific bullet, on page 211. That says in order to meet the budgetary.

<u>01:26:24.000 --> 01:26:39.000</u>

<u>Reduction needs that library assistance at all levels were a eliminated. So it says elimination of some allocations in order to accommodate the strategic investments in school staffing noted above.</u>

<u>01:26:39.000 --> 01:26:53.000</u>

Discretionary support allocations to middle and high schools and then it says library assistance at all levels. So that makes it pretty plain that funding for library assistance across the board was eliminated.

<u>01:26:53.000 --> 01:26:54.000</u> <u>Okay.</u>

<u>01:26:54.000 --> 01:27:04.000</u> <u>I would, what I would say to this groom is that we need to double check with. The budget staff and other leadership staff that is not my understanding of what the cabinet decided.</u>

<u>01:27:04.000 --> 01:27:19.000</u>

But I understand the impact. What I want to say is all these details I don't want to you know cause murkiness in reality there are some high schools where library assistance are not being carried forward into the new year and I don't want that to get lost.

01:27:19.000 --> 01:27:25.000 I'm in the conversation and I appreciate you pointing me to that. I actually didn't bring my budget book home today.

01:27:25.000 --> 01:27:32.000 Had with me last night and left it in the office. So, but thank you for that. I'll look that up and.

01:27:32.000 --> 01:27:36.000 On touch base with the budget and cabinet team.

01:27:36.000 --> 01:27:39.000 I've got my book, what page did you say?

<u>01:27:39.000 --> 01:27:41.000</u> <u>2 11.</u>

<u>01:27:41.000 --> 01:27:43.000</u> <u>Okay.</u>

<u>01:27:43.000 --> 01:27:50.000</u> <u>211. It's at the top.</u>

<u>01:27:50.000 --> 01:27:51.000</u> <u>Okay.</u>

<u>01:27:51.000 --> 01:28:02.000</u> <u>There are 3 main bullets of how. The focus of the reduction was number one class size threshold</u> <u>increased and then allocations of staff to point 2 increments rather than 0 point 5.</u> 01:28:02.000 --> 01:28:10.000 And then elimination of discretionary support to middle and high schools and elimination of library assistance at all levels.

<u>01:28:10.000 --> 01:28:16.000</u> Oh yeah, so the discretionary. Report. Well, plus discretionary report. Yeah.

01:28:16.000 --> 01:28:18.000 Right, they got a double whammy.

01:28:18.000 --> 01:28:28.000 Okay. Oh, Okay, well, I guess Dr. Adams and I. Are on the same.

01:28:28.000 --> 01:28:34.000 Mistake or misunderstanding. Yeah.

01:28:34.000 --> 01:28:41.000 Or the books misunderstanding. I don't know. We'll try to figure it out.

01:28:41.000 --> 01:28:47.000 Look, I would encourage you to talk to Sonia Harvey.

01:28:47.000 --> 01:28:56.000 She was instrumental. In helping this principal make this.

01:28:56.000 --> 01:29:20.000 Yeah, I think you have your hand up next.

<u>01:29:20.000 --> 01:29:37.000</u> <u>Yeah. Do we have further questions about this? We can answer right now. Like in our budget</u> <u>document?</u>

<u>01:29:37.000 --> 01:29:39.000</u> <u>I'll see.</u>

<u>01:29:39.000 --> 01:29:55.000</u> Yeah, this isn't about library, but just about the section in general. I liked how we, you know, highlighted the noticing that they, attempted to

01:29:55.000 --> 01:29:59.000 To not make a big impact on as big as it. You know, to save student facing positions basically.

01:29:59.000 --> 01:30:18.000 Is what they were trying to do and and recognizing and and encouraging that continued. Focus. I also wonder if we wanted to highlight because it was in the executive summary that they also did not close schools.

01:30:18.000 --> 01:30:28.000 Like entire schools. And if we want to share that as a piece of, you know. Yay, we didn't close these schools.

<u>01:30:28.000 --> 01:30:33.000</u> <u>Yeah.</u>

01:30:33.000 --> 01:30:40.000 Are you suggesting that's within one of these larger areas?

01:30:40.000 --> 01:30:51.000 I think it's a under the cuts to. Let me look at my draft.

01:30:51.000 --> 01:30:52.000 Where did it go? 01:30:52.000 --> 01:30:57.000 This section where we were focused on library was within cuts to direct service staff.

<u>01:30:57.000 --> 01:31:10.000</u> <u>Right. Right. And it says the, I guess the preamble to that section says that. Continues the trend of</u> <u>reductions to student facing position.</u>

<u>01:31:10.000 --> 01:31:11.000</u> It says.

01:31:11.000 --> 01:31:12.000 No, where did we where do we put that? Where is that where it says? We recognize.

01:31:12.000 --> 01:31:20.000 It's the 1st point there. We're down to number 2, so just scroll up a little bit.

<u>01:31:20.000 --> 01:31:21.000</u> <u>Okay.</u>

01:31:21.000 --> 01:31:32.000 And I'll just say on this, I'm. I'm not sure that we've taken or discussed as a committee a position on the district strategy other than reducing impact to students.

<u>01:31:32.000 --> 01:31:33.000</u> Right.

01:31:33.000 --> 01:31:40.000 And I'm not sure how it's gonna pan out in coming years. We could we could praise them for this year and then see.

01:31:40.000 --> 01:31:45.000 I don't know. I don't know. It's gonna pan out.

<u>01:31:45.000 --> 01:31:47.000</u> Roger.

01:31:47.000 --> 01:32:02.000 I guess, I compare with your observation, Grace, I think, you know, it's a lot of Tory that we're not closing schools in this proposed budget.

01:32:02.000 --> 01:32:07.000 Boy, I think that's very likely in the future. So.

<u>01:32:07.000 --> 01:32:13.000</u> Way best being silent about that.

<u>01:32:13.000 --> 01:32:26.000</u> <u>And, I mean, I think it's, been discussed in public meetings and so maybe it could be about the manner in which CPRC recommends the district purs that work.</u>

01:32:26.000 --> 01:32:28.000 If they're.

01:32:28.000 --> 01:32:32.000 I think we'll have the opportunity to discuss this in depth next year. I mean, we have harder cuts.

<u>01:32:32.000 --> 01:32:40.000</u> <u>Yeah.</u>

<u>01:32:40.000 --> 01:32:41.000</u> Hmm. <u>01:32:41.000 --> 01:32:50.000</u>

<u>I had a question on this 1st recommendation if I can. When it's prioritizing, prioritizing student</u> <u>facing positions and continued analysis of contracts for service that may be redundant to work</u> <u>assigned to district funded physicians.</u>

01:32:50.000 --> 01:33:00.000 This is in the content text specific to this header district service staff.

01:33:00.000 --> 01:33:01.000 Direct sort of stuff, yeah.

<u>01:33:01.000 --> 01:33:04.000</u> Yeah.

<u>01:33:04.000 --> 01:33:16.000</u> It just if you this is a very strong statement. And my reading of it, I feel that could stand on its own and this could potentially be a separate.

01:33:16.000 --> 01:33:22.000 Bullet point or were they were they strongly related?

01:33:22.000 --> 01:33:29.000 If you think that's 30, then let's. Put a period after positions and, beginning.

01:33:29.000 --> 01:33:30.000 You can see how it looks. Okay.

01:33:30.000 --> 01:33:37.000 Bullet point. Okay.

01:33:37.000 --> 01:33:46.000 I think the spirit of. Our special support here is to maintain as much student support in the classroom.

01:33:46.000 --> 01:34:00.000 And you know, kind of those wrap around students, possible. It's getting hard.

01:34:00.000 --> 01:34:07.000 Alright, do we wanna continue on? I think we're run from, bullet 4, 5.

01:34:07.000 --> 01:34:13.000 See if we can get through this. Get everybody out of here.

<u>01:34:13.000 --> 01:34:14.000</u> <u>Okay</u>.

01:34:14.000 --> 01:34:19.000 Yeah, I agree. I just, I noticed here, allocation, additional cuts to non direct service administrators.

<u>01:34:19.000 --> 01:34:20.000</u> <u>So.</u>

01:34:20.000 --> 01:34:23.000 That was actually a. He left over from last year. I don't have a particular position on that.

01:34:23.000 --> 01:34:27.000 I mean, Okay.

01:34:27.000 --> 01:34:37.000 I think it's a little redundant. Does anybody have an objection to removing it? 01:34:37.000 --> 01:34:51.000 Okay, hearing none, I think I think it's captured above.

<u>01:34:51.000 --> 01:35:03.000</u>

Okay, so looking at differential staffing allocations, based on increased student need, our recommendations here, the need for accurate data on investments.

01:35:03.000 --> 01:35:28.000 And tracking that over time. I think the district is attempting to do that. Sorry, I'm having a trouble reading this at the scale.

01:35:28.000 --> 01:35:41.000 Can you see it now? No, it stopped the share. Okay, let me try again.

<u>01:35:41.000 --> 01:35:42.000</u> Yeah.

01:35:42.000 --> 01:35:43.000 Is it increasing when I do that? Okay.

01:35:43.000 --> 01:35:46.000 Quite a bit now. We might have to take it down just to not.

01:35:46.000 --> 01:35:47.000 Little bit.

01:35:47.000 --> 01:35:50.000 You can't, can you not see all the text? Okay.

01:35:50.000 --> 01:35:51.000 There.

01:35:51.000 --> 01:36:00.000 l apologize, I'm not on my desktop where I could, myself. So we're asking for accurate data over time to talk about the return on and equity investments.

01:36:00.000 --> 01:36:12.000 Recommend that PPS track and assess how the allocation of school base interventional intervention. Is to the schools of highest need based on map testing data impacts outcomes.

01:36:12.000 --> 01:36:20.000 So that's change this year. We recommend the district work closely with administrators. During the time of.

01:36:20.000 --> 01:36:32.000 Page down a little.

01:36:32.000 --> 01:36:33.000 Oh, do we have a drop?

01:36:33.000 --> 01:36:40.000 Just hovering over this sentence because I see. Okay. Yeah, the subject of the sentences.

<u>01:36:40.000 --> 01:36:41.000</u> <u>Or</u>

01:36:41.000 --> 01:36:56.000 Which I think this most edits that maybe that. Yeah.

01:36:56.000 --> 01:36:57.000 At any copy edits and 01:36:57.000 --> 01:37:02.000 And I think what we might wanna conclude, Alexandra with this meeting with is approving any you know, kind of copy grammar edits that are needed for.

<u>01:37:02.000 --> 01:37:03.000</u> <u>Clarity.</u>

01:37:03.000 --> 01:37:17.000 I agree with that and I'll just flag everything that we see that needed some copy edit.

<u>01:37:17.000 --> 01:37:29.000</u> <u>Hmm.</u>

01:37:29.000 --> 01:37:32.000 Did you wanna move down to the enrichment summer or somewhere after school outside of school hours?

01:37:32.000 --> 01:37:45.000 Yeah, we can do that. Yeah.

01:37:45.000 --> 01:37:54.000 So we support districts effort to close educational gaps with. With special programs including summer programs. And we are acknowledging this year's, Cbo's request for increased funding.

01:37:54.000 --> 01:38:09.000 And continuation of funding from I, yes, of course.

01:38:09.000 --> 01:38:10.000 No, do we need to go back?

01:38:10.000 --> 01:38:18.000 Sorry, I missed my moment. On the just a little bit, yeah. The one about the, it looks like there's a drop sentence, least on my old draft, but the.

01:38:18.000 --> 01:38:28.000 Right before recommendations about equity allocation.

01:38:28.000 --> 01:38:29.000 Yeah, I think we've had some edits.

01:38:29.000 --> 01:38:38.000 Yeah, right there, something. About. And I think the point that I was wanting to bring there was that Just encouraging.

01:38:38.000 --> 01:38:51.000 The board too with this shift to all high schools getting equity allocations by as a percentage of their I think combined under served students.

01:38:51.000 --> 01:38:59.000 I think that's how they do it. To look at the overall. Equity allotments.

<u>01:38:59.000 --> 01:39:07.000</u> <u>You know, to make sure nothing funky is happening like Lincoln is ending up with more FTE than</u> <u>you know, a school that has higher.</u>

01:39:07.000 --> 01:39:15.000 Combined underserved students. Just to look closely at that because it's a big change.

01:39:15.000 --> 01:39:21.000 Per per per student basis.

01:39:21.000 --> 01:39:24.000

<u>Okay.</u>

01:39:24.000 --> 01:39:26.000 Is this a recommendation or?

01:39:26.000 --> 01:39:28.000 I think it was an observation here.

01:39:28.000 --> 01:39:32.000 Okay, but I'm hearing Grace.

01:39:32.000 --> 01:39:39.000 I'm fine with whichever way makes more sense. Observation or recommendation. Yeah.

01:39:39.000 --> 01:39:51.000 I mean, I think we'll know more next year. Yeah, we certainly want to follow that for sure.

01:39:51.000 --> 01:39:54.000 Okay, I think for time we'll go ahead and move on.

01:39:54.000 --> 01:40:05.000 Okay. We note here the change from 8% to a 4% change in equity funding.

01:40:05.000 --> 01:40:12.000 But also there's that basis change that's part of that. So we're on to summer after school and specialized learning programs.

01:40:12.000 --> 01:40:21.000 We talked about CBOs and requests for funding. Or the continuation of funding from Si funds.

01:40:21.000 --> 01:40:29.000 We have a question here about the reduction in, tag funding. To match projected enrollments.

01:40:29.000 --> 01:40:45.000

So hopefully that can be answered. So we recommend that the district provide programming for students not qualified for because they scored below the 10th percentile so it just is another way to capture students who are struggling.

<u>01:40:45.000 --> 01:40:54.000</u>

And to, provide support for them. For continuation of funding. That we have an extra space there for CBO programs.

<u>01:40:54.000 --> 01:41:03.000</u>

With a record of strongly positive educational outcomes. I wonder if we might wanna. Yeah, yeah, I think that.

<u>01:41:03.000 --> 01:41:14.000</u> <u>And we recommend a continuation time program funding at a level that matches current</u> <u>involvement. So.</u>

01:41:14.000 --> 01:41:25.000 If we've seen a 66% decrease then that funding would increase accordingly. Investment of Okay.

<u>01:41:25.000 --> 01:41:26.000</u> Oh, so we're questioning, the instructional coaches and professional development time.

<u>01:41:26.000 --> 01:41:41.000</u>

We know that it's important. But we ask that the board evaluate this investment against basically the potential use to support student facing staff.

<u>01:41:41.000 --> 01:41:54.000</u>

And they'll reduce class sizes. So we are recommendation here is prioritizing class sizes and current student support positions over additional instructional coaches.

<u>01:41:54.000 --> 01:42:03.000</u>

We make an exception for early literacy interventionalists, providing. Providing direct service to students and coaches.

<u>01:42:03.000 --> 01:42:15.000</u>

<u>Providing support to educators. Just because that's what really critical and early board goal that</u> really all the others build on.

<u>01:42:15.000 --> 01:42:24.000</u> <u>We support maintaining social emotional supports here. We acknowledge that the district is</u> <u>currently not funded to meet all those needs.</u>

<u>01:42:24.000 --> 01:42:37.000</u>

And we've requested clarification. If there's a minimum counselor FT for K 5 and the 6 3 8 schools that was not there to me either and reading that closely.

01:42:37.000 --> 01:42:42.000 It's this we request clarification. There is a minimum counselor.

<u>01:42:42.000 --> 01:42:44.000</u> <u>Yeah.</u>

01:42:44.000 --> 01:42:54.000 I don't wanna put Dr. Adams on the spot that maybe we can look for this information during the meeting.

<u>01:42:54.000 --> 01:42:55.000</u> <u>So.</u>

01:42:55.000 --> 01:43:01.000 And do you know Sorry, go ahead.

01:43:01.000 --> 01:43:02.000 So I don't know if this is like.

01:43:02.000 --> 01:43:10.000 For you. Sorry. Go ahead.

<u>01:43:10.000 --> 01:43:16.000</u> So we're just wondering if. Like middle schools and high schools, there's a minimum FTD.

01:43:16.000 --> 01:43:26.000 Or k 3 5 and 6 rate schools. So we're noting that. PPS is moving from point 5 to point 2 allocation.

01:43:26.000 --> 01:43:31.000 For a lot of staff, so the idea is probably you can split or share stuff across schools a little bit easier.

01:43:31.000 --> 01:43:37.000 Which i understand that and so we're just wondering like Could you have a point 3 counselor?

01:43:37.000 --> 01:43:42.000 Could you have or I guess it'd be point 4.2, whatever.

01:43:42.000 --> 01:43:52.000 So, thank you for the question, Mariah. What I can share is That information is outlined in our staffing guidelines at Proc.

01:43:52.000 --> 01:43:58.000

Program and book if I could speak. And. We have been rounding up.

01:43:58.000 --> 01:44:07.000

So there are instances, for example, in the core program handbook. Were calls for a point 5 for example.

01:44:07.000 --> 01:44:15.000 Allocation and because we're outating in point 2 We've been rounding that up to point 6.

01:44:15.000 --> 01:44:22.000 I can work with Alexandra to make sure that you all have access to that document. I think it is.

<u>01:44:22.000 --> 01:44:36.000</u> Available. But I want to make sure that it's somewhere in the drive where anybody can access it because I'm not the owner of the document.

<u>01:44:36.000 --> 01:44:37.000</u> <u>Yes.</u>

01:44:37.000 --> 01:44:44.000 Okay, so I think what I'm hearing, I mean, if I'm reading the I don't have it in front of me, page 2, 14, whatever it is.

<u>01:44:44.000 --> 01:44:45.000</u> <u>Yeah, 2.</u>

01:44:45.000 --> 01:44:46.000 It looks like high schools of over 600. I don't know if there's high schools of under 600.

01:44:46.000 --> 01:44:56.000 Everybody has at least 1 point O for our counselor. I'm just wondering, is are we?

01:44:56.000 --> 01:45:09.000 Are we under that for? . 4

01:45:09.000 --> 01:45:18.000 Yeah, cause on page 2 13 at the top it said counselors are allocated using a ratio of 335 to one.

01:45:18.000 --> 01:45:24.000 Based on total school enrollment and allocations are rounded to the nearest point too. So I guess the question is.

01:45:24.000 --> 01:45:35.000 Like you said, Mariah. Is there a minimum allocation like every school's getting an instructional coach is every school getting at least a 1 point oh counselor or is that.

01:45:35.000 --> 01:45:40.000 I think it's completely fair to ask that question. I don't have the answer off the top of my head.

<u>01:45:40.000 --> 01:45:45.000</u> But I'm happy to research it. I know that doesn't help you. With finalizing the report.

<u>01:45:45.000 --> 01:45:51.000</u> I wanna acknowledge that. Because I don't have the answer right at this moment.

01:45:51.000 --> 01:46:00.000 So. I'm actually gonna recommend that we remove that. I really think it's a question for staff rather than a recommendation.

<u>01:46:00.000 --> 01:46:16.000</u>

If we wanna make a recommendation that schools have at least a point 5 counselor, then I think we should just come out and say it, you know.

01:46:16.000 --> 01:46:24.000 Yeah, we have. If possible, reduce the counselor to student ratios, you know, more than 1,100 students.

<u>01:46:24.000 --> 01:46:25.000</u> <u>Hmm.</u>

01:46:25.000 --> 01:46:33.000 So that is. 1 3rd of what we currently have. That would be a dream. For most schools.

01:46:33.000 --> 01:46:45.000 Do you want to revise any of this just to just to note? Just to ask for, no less than a half time counselor at any school.

<u>01:46:45.000 --> 01:47:01.000</u> <u>Or wanna leave it alone. I'm looking at our. Good.</u>

01:47:01.000 --> 01:47:07.000 I mean, I think it's like you said, it's a dream. We can recommend it doesn't mean it's.

<u>01:47:07.000 --> 01:47:08.000</u> <u>Okay.</u>

01:47:08.000 --> 01:47:16.000 Going to be the reality but We could also just take out the observations about the. You know, the requesting clarifications.

<u>01:47:16.000 --> 01:47:27.000</u> And, you know. We're already saying that we know we don't have the money and we know that the needs are intense.

<u>01:47:27.000 --> 01:47:31.000</u> <u>So.</u>

01:47:31.000 --> 01:47:32.000 That makes sense.

01:47:32.000 --> 01:47:35.000 Both things can be true.

01:47:35.000 --> 01:47:36.000 That Juno. Good.

01:47:36.000 --> 01:47:44.000 Is that? Sorry, is that 100 per one student aligned with is that is that along with the QEM or where is that?

01:47:44.000 --> 01:47:48.000 Number coming from.

01:47:48.000 --> 01:47:56.000 <u>I think it just came from our committee work. We didn't look at the QEM. That would be a good</u> <u>thing to add.</u>

<u>01:47:56.000 --> 01:47:57.000</u> <u>I mean.</u>

<u>01:47:57.000 --> 01:48:04.000</u> We could just say that, reduce the counselor ratios more than the QEM recommends.

01:48:04.000 --> 01:48:05.000

<u>Okay.</u>

01:48:05.000 --> 01:48:06.000 Yeah, we should find out what that is. I think the 100.

01:48:06.000 --> 01:48:14.000 200. Or it recommends 250 students per counselor. So I mean, I, you know, I do get the.

01:48:14.000 --> 01:48:22.000 The the hope to be aspirational but we are you know a budget committee I feel like. We should also be realistic.

01:48:22.000 --> 01:48:28.000 And we're not even meeting the QM right now. So. You know, one per a hundred seems.

01:48:28.000 --> 01:48:33.000 Little. I don't know.

<u>01:48:33.000 --> 01:48:43.000</u> Yeah, I'm comfortable with just saying, if possible. Match the, yeah, no more than the QEM, recommends.

<u>01:48:43.000 --> 01:48:55.000</u> Just for clarity here in a budget document. How about we recommend? A student counselor, student to counselor ratio.

01:48:55.000 --> 01:48:59.000 As recommended in the QEM.

01:48:59.000 --> 01:49:06.000 Sorry, that's recommended twice.

01:49:06.000 --> 01:49:11.000 So I just wanted, 4 point of clarification. I know that the question was asked earlier.

01:49:11.000 --> 01:49:16.000 Are there any schools that have less than 1. Point 0 FTE in counselors. I can say that.

<u>01:49:16.000 --> 01:49:18.000</u> <u>Hmm.</u>

01:49:18.000 --> 01:49:26.000 It looks like every school has at least a full 1 point. I'm, a, a, a, a, counselor.

01:49:26.000 --> 01:49:31.000 Thank you for that.

01:49:31.000 --> 01:49:40.000 Alright, moving on, special education changes. So this highlighted portion is just straight up pulled from the.

01:49:40.000 --> 01:49:51.000 Districts budget like the summary. It is not, is not my recommendation or anything. It's just their statement.

01:49:51.000 --> 01:49:59.000 It was hard. I what I will say is it was hard to determine what was going on with special education.

01:49:59.000 --> 01:50:05.000 I see there's an increase in school psychologist, speechless pathologist and teacher FTE.

01:50:05.000 --> 01:50:12.000

It's not clear what's happening to other special education staff. I don't know.

01:50:12.000 --> 01:50:21.000 Does anybody want to lay in on this? Okay.

01:50:21.000 --> 01:50:29.000 Well, only reiterate what I said that the last time we met.

<u>01:50:29.000 --> 01:50:48.000</u> <u>It's my understanding. We're in the process. Moving to individual schools. Providing these</u> <u>services whereas they used to aggregate in given schools within a cluster.</u>

01:50:48.000 --> 01:50:58.000 The services and. The last time I asked your question. Mayon said, well, the very next day.

<u>01:50:58.000 --> 01:51:08.000</u> The district was going out to. The community to explain what their proposal is and.

01:51:08.000 --> 01:51:13.000 11 don't know anything more than that.

01:51:13.000 --> 01:51:24.000 Well, in light of this, I think we might just want to note here. That in light of significant changes to special education programs.

01:51:24.000 --> 01:51:34.000 See I don't know what we want to say. Would like to continue to track this next year, would like to see outcomes from those changes.

01:51:34.000 --> 01:51:55.000 I think we want to see the outcomes of changes. I don't know how to weigh in on them right now.

01:51:55.000 --> 01:52:11.000 I was just looking at the memo that was shared by special education specific to. Staffing and shifts and it's not clear from that memo and I wasn't able to decipher it in the budget.

01:52:11.000 --> 01:52:20.000 But we have a line in our draft right now that says there is significant. Cuts to

01:52:20.000 --> 01:52:29.000 Also notes reduction of classified staff for special education. But it's not spelled out in the in the special education memo.

01:52:29.000 --> 01:52:35.000 About those. Cuts.

01:52:35.000 --> 01:52:44.000 They did cut the feeding team and the adaptive PE team.

01:52:44.000 --> 01:52:51.000 I'm forgetting what AT stands for, but another an acronym.

01:52:51.000 --> 01:52:58.000 But it doesn't specify the like pair educators that were.

01:52:58.000 --> 01:53:03.000 Reduced.

<u>01:53:03.000 --> 01:53:14.000</u> <u>Okay, well, it seems to me like our recommendations here can stand. To review these, to better</u> <u>understand it next year.</u> 01:53:14.000 --> 01:53:27.000 And to monitor the shift. So let's move on to early literacy. I wanna make sure that we get through this, Grace, has kind of led the charge on a little bit or see with some expertise in this area.

01:53:27.000 --> 01:53:32.000 Or a lot of expertise and sorry.

01:53:32.000 --> 01:53:35.000 Do you want to talk us through?

<u>01:53:35.000 --> 01:53:43.000</u> Sure. So we've got, you know, laying the groundwork, that literacy is foundational to all the goals.

01:53:43.000 --> 01:53:50.000 And that the board's goal is to close the opportunity and outcome gaps in 3rd grade reading between students of color and their white peers.

01:53:50.000 --> 01:54:02.000 And that it's a good thing. You know, we went from having a bullet saying We weren't focusing on early literacy last year to, hey, there's some early literacy changes, so we're encouraged by the.

01:54:02.000 --> 01:54:10.000 Investment of the early literacy coaching. I think that we need to Change that add to that.

01:54:10.000 --> 01:54:22.000 Early literacy interventionists and coaching.

01:54:22.000 --> 01:54:30.000 And maybe put a period after that and then we could just add that we still still question the lack of high dosage tutoring.

01:54:30.000 --> 01:54:41.000 The learning acceleration specialist, that was the only show in town for intervention for reading. And at the district level last year, but this next year it's.

01:54:41.000 --> 01:54:54.000 Going to be those. Literacy, those 7 FTE. Academic interventionists. I mean, I don't know, we could we could still encourage the district to.

01:54:54.000 --> 01:55:01.000 To focus more on early literacy with that learning acceleration specialist position. Right now, learning acceleration specialists.

01:55:01.000 --> 01:55:11.000 Only work with 3rd grade and up, not early literacy. So as a district, we have added through the early literacy grant from the state.

01:55:11.000 --> 01:55:26.000 That budget. Here marked 7 FTE. In the form of interventionist, direct service support to K 3 emerging readers.

01:55:26.000 --> 01:55:31.000 And I think a little bit lower down, maybe in our recommendations.

01:55:31.000 --> 01:55:34.000 How do you do you have a question while we're on something?

01:55:34.000 --> 01:55:39.000 We're just what, Grace was saying. I can't remember the name. There was a lot of training.

01:55:39.000 --> 01:55:51.000 Doesn't, there in, Phoenix and early reading. I can't remember the name. <u>01:55:51.000 --> 01:55:52.000</u> <u>Okay.</u>

<u>01:55:52.000 --> 01:56:03.000</u> <u>Right, I think that's gonna be continued with the. The coaching positions. So they have, I</u> <u>understand it, from what I understand from Elizabeth Martin, they have put together sort of a suite</u> <u>of services that districts or that schools can apply.</u>

01:56:03.000 --> 01:56:10.000 And qualify for and they have to kind of like Do the whole shebang, they get the direct service.

01:56:10.000 --> 01:56:18.000 Learning acceleration support that's moving about as needs change, you know, it's like get in.

<u>01:56:18.000 --> 01:56:28.000</u>

Get the kids where they need to be, go to the next school, that kind of thing. And then also they get the coaching of the staff to continue that.

01:56:28.000 --> 01:56:32.000 You know, science of reading.

<u>01:56:32.000 --> 01:56:33.000</u> <u>D.</u>

01:56:33.000 --> 01:56:38.000 Yeah, what was the name of that? I'm a. Yeah. Okay, so they're continuing that as I understand it.

<u>01:56:38.000 --> 01:56:39.000</u> <u>Good.</u>

01:56:39.000 --> 01:56:45.000 Right. And I think it's gonna be rolling out. So they've been doing the letters training.

01:56:45.000 --> 01:56:46.000 Letters, this one.

01:56:46.000 --> 01:57:03.000 And yeah. But this is gonna be, you know, more comprehensive. With more staff. Focusing on early literacy at the schools that I think both ask for the help and show from their scores they need the help.

01:57:03.000 --> 01:57:14.000 Okay. Thanks.

<u>01:57:14.000 --> 01:57:22.000</u> <u>So.</u>

<u>01:57:22.000 --> 01:57:34.000</u> Yeah, so more. Direct service FTE to K 2. Would really make a big difference in meeting that 3rd grade.

01:57:34.000 --> 01:57:46.000 Reading goal and maintaining or reducing class sizes for the lower grades, especially like you mentioned, Mariah, 31, 1st graders.

01:57:46.000 --> 01:57:59.000 And especially without another adult in the room that's. Not the greatest environment for early literacy.

<u>01:57:59.000 --> 01:58:07.000</u>

So this, I think this was from last year to the maintaining the 1.0 allocation. For small group instruction for EA.

01:58:07.000 --> 01:58:17.000 For kindergarten classrooms. At schools serving a population of 40%. I don't believe that they did anything to to that.

01:58:17.000 --> 01:58:29.000 I think I think we could still say that we want to maintain that from what I have seen in the Maybe the percentage went higher.

01:58:29.000 --> 01:58:37.000 Do you know? Dr. Adams, is the percentage gone up? From 40% to a higher amount or is that?

01:58:37.000 --> 01:58:40.000 Or was that the equity funding that the percentage went up?

01:58:40.000 --> 01:58:45.000 So I, I honestly don't have the answer to your question. I'm this groom.

<u>01:58:45.000 --> 01:58:46.000</u> <u>I'm sorry.</u>

01:58:46.000 --> 01:58:49.000 It has stayed the same. That is staying the same.

01:58:49.000 --> 01:58:52.000 Thank you. Leslie.

01:58:52.000 --> 01:59:06.000 Okay, thanks. Yeah, so yeah, maintaining that and. Expanding the high dosage tutoring to serve students starting in the 3rd quarter of kindergarten instead of starting at 3rd grade.

01:59:06.000 --> 01:59:14.000 You know, if we have intervention materials, which I think we will now because we're getting it with the.

01:59:14.000 --> 01:59:21.000 The early literacy grant, then we could be we could be using that in high dosage tutoring.

01:59:21.000 --> 01:59:26.000 For younger students also.

01:59:26.000 --> 01:59:33.000 And.

<u>01:59:33.000 --> 01:59:43.000</u> <u>Yeah.</u>

<u>01:59:43.000 --> 01:59:44.000</u> Yup.

<u>01:59:44.000 --> 01:59:55.000</u> You know, scrolled past this part, Grace. Where your the discussion on library services and then the recommendation here around staffing to the QEM.

01:59:55.000 --> 02:00:11.000 So I just wanted to call that out since I scroll through it so quickly.

02:00:11.000 --> 02:00:18.000 I think we're all on board with that recommendation around libraries. I guess I've understood our discussion tonight. <u>02:00:18.000 --> 02:00:32.000</u> Yeah, and I guess I. Do I think in our last meeting we discussed this as being couched within early literacy and wanting to elevate it or move it somewhere else in the report.

02:00:32.000 --> 02:00:36.000 I'm tracking from last meeting.

<u>02:00:36.000 --> 02:00:43.000</u> <u>I suppose we could take that section and move it up what we talk about. A recommendation for library.</u>

02:00:43.000 --> 02:00:45.000 Support earlier.

02:00:45.000 --> 02:00:47.000 Is it in the cuts to direct staffing? Okay.

02:00:47.000 --> 02:00:53.000 Yeah. Do you want to just make a note on that and make that administrative change later.

<u>02:00:53.000 --> 02:00:59.000</u> <u>Yep.</u>

<u>02:00:59.000 --> 02:01:00.000</u> Okay.

02:01:00.000 --> 02:01:09.000 I don't know if this is possible, but I did leave a comment about potentially mentioning, mentioning the library, staff and recommendations.

02:01:09.000 --> 02:01:17.000 In the overview of the report as well. Specifically because we do mention I believe.

<u>02:01:17.000 --> 02:01:41.000</u> <u>Cuts to direct student positions in the overview. So like it might be relevant to just include that in</u> <u>there because it's a specific example.</u>

<u>02:01:41.000 --> 02:01:51.000</u> We're kinda, we're at time within this meet. And we're but we are really close to kind of getting through all of the content.

<u>02:01:51.000 --> 02:01:59.000</u> With the committee be willing to extend 5 min to. Go through and finalize here.

02:01:59.000 --> 02:02:00.000 I see some thumbs up. Yeah.

02:02:00.000 --> 02:02:05.000 I can't see everyone. You wanna throw up by hand that you don't? Okay, great.

<u>02:02:05.000 --> 02:02:08.000</u> <u>Yes.</u>

02:02:08.000 --> 02:02:12.000 High school graduation was the next section.

<u>02:02:12.000 --> 02:02:27.000</u> And I know.

<u>02:02:27.000 --> 02:02:36.000</u>

<u>I can, I can rethrow on it, recognizing in the district, is trying to continue to improve on budget</u> goals, reduce disparities and inequities.

<u>02:02:36.000 --> 02:02:43.000</u>

Based on graduation rates, we're down for underserved populations. We've noted that earlier, that here again.

02:02:43.000 --> 02:02:48.000 And that the evening scholar staff were funded on ESR and will continue on the general fund.

<u>02:02:48.000 --> 02:03:04.000</u> So the recommendation here. Is for more information on the multiple pathways to graduation alliance. And reconnection services essentially kind of wrap around graduation options.

<u>02:03:04.000 --> 02:03:16.000</u> And reduction in central office expenditures. We notes, that central office expenditures have been cut 55 million dollars since 3 years, which is huge.

<u>02:03:16.000 --> 02:03:25.000</u> And wonder if these have, you know, what impact these are having on student success. I mean, probably, responses from staff.

<u>02:03:25.000 --> 02:03:34.000</u> And other things. So review of central office spending cost. Again, we're just dealing with hard and deep, things.

02:03:34.000 --> 02:03:43.000 And I'm superintendent noted. So kind of in our closing. Focusing back on our values here.

02:03:43.000 --> 02:03:54.000

Of providing rigorous high quality. Academic learning environments. That are increasingly joyful and disrupting racial inequities to create vibrant and violence.

02:03:54.000 --> 02:04:02.000 For every student should I run straight. I think our final kind of piece here is just reiterating that maintaining or reducing class sizes.

02:04:02.000 --> 02:04:11.000 By increasing teaching staff, and getting students more individually, attention. Will have a positive impact on all students.

02:04:11.000 --> 02:04:19.000 Perfectly on those who are struggling. And we.

<u>02:04:19.000 --> 02:04:31.000</u> No, that we need to respond to financial crises. Kind of this is just recognizing the points about kind of summarizing recognizing points.

02:04:31.000 --> 02:04:38.000 And we, we thank staff for working with it on this process.

02:04:38.000 --> 02:04:42.000 And I did wanna take that stuff for them. So.

02:04:42.000 --> 02:04:45.000 That concludes our letter. And so to sum up kind of this conversation, I think we've worked through all of the main points here.

02:04:45.000 --> 02:04:54.000 Alexander. Let's. If we can.

02:04:54.000 --> 02:05:08.000

I would suggest a couple of votes here. We would vote to approve Alexandra to make any Kind of, edits for clarity or grammar, in our reports.

02:05:08.000 --> 02:05:15.000 I would like you guys to approve, for a portion to just note that the levy funds.

<u>02:05:15.000 --> 02:05:23.000</u> As we discussed earlier, are gonna. Essentially have a reduced impact on the number of positions they can fund or include that in the report.

02:05:23.000 --> 02:05:31.000 Those are the only changes. From its current form that we would anticipate. Any further commentary here?

<u>02:05:31.000 --> 02:05:37.000</u> Hmm. You guys are born with us for a full 2 h.

02:05:37.000 --> 02:05:39.000 It's us a lot.

02:05:39.000 --> 02:05:41.000 It's done a lot. It's been a lot to share and I've had a lot of quick changes.

<u>02:05:41.000 --> 02:05:48.000</u> <u>Yeah, I just wanna thank everybody. Yeah, thanks, and definitely thank you, Mariah, for all your work in interacting the report.</u>

<u>02:05:48.000 --> 02:05:49.000</u> <u>Yeah.</u>

02:05:49.000 --> 02:05:54.000 It was a lot in a short amount of time.

<u>02:05:54.000 --> 02:06:00.000</u> <u>Alright, and we're gonna turn right around and present this really soon.</u>

<u>02:06:00.000 --> 02:06:11.000</u> On the topic of presenting, we had discussed preparing slides and I would still be happy to take a pass at doing that and offer it for your.

02:06:11.000 --> 02:06:20.000 Consideration, so just pulling out those high points. So we can opt to not use it. Or use it and I'll go ahead and share it with you all.

02:06:20.000 --> 02:06:31.000 Tomorrow. I'm seeing some head nuts so I'll go ahead and do it.

<u>02:06:31.000 --> 02:06:41.000</u> <u>Okay. So I'm gonna have to rely on, or Alexandra or someone at the institutional memory in terms</u> <u>of how we have authorize this letter.</u>

02:06:41.000 --> 02:06:43.000 To send to the board.

<u>02:06:43.000 --> 02:07:02.000</u>

In the past we agreed on the process where how would come together ultimately. But I do like that and where I am hearing you kind of suggest that there is a vote on this and with kind of administrative or editor, like not editorial, but copy edit, copy edit changes.

<u>02:07:02.000 --> 02:07:09.000</u> <u>Happy No.</u> <u>02:07:09.000 --> 02:07:10.000</u> <u>Thank you.</u>

02:07:10.000 --> 02:07:12.000 Yes. So I like that personally, but. Okay.

02:07:12.000 --> 02:07:13.000 I think that's.

02:07:13.000 --> 02:07:19.000 Also move and. And you get a second.

02:07:19.000 --> 02:07:22.000 Second, yes.

<u>02:07:22.000 --> 02:07:23.000</u> <u>Okay.</u>

<u>02:07:23.000 --> 02:07:26.000</u> Let's see.

02:07:26.000 --> 02:07:34.000 But, by show of hands, perhaps, all in favor show of hands.

02:07:34.000 --> 02:07:40.000 And then we have a corner there tonight. Let's see, do we need to refresh anybody?

<u>02:07:40.000 --> 02:07:45.000</u> Oh, there we go. Everybody's got it.

02:07:45.000 --> 02:07:50.000 I see. 7 hands. I think that we're. We're good.

<u>02:07:50.000 --> 02:07:53.000</u> <u>Okay.</u>

<u>02:07:53.000 --> 02:07:57.000</u> Alright.

02:07:57.000 --> 02:08:07.000 Okay, we've approved our annual letter to the PS board and we'll be presenting. That's on this 7th if I were calling.

02:08:07.000 --> 02:08:08.000 Okay, see you all there.

<u>02:08:08.000 --> 02:08:19.000</u> <u>That's right. This Yep, it's an agenda item on the regular board meeting, so I'll send you all more information so it's really clear, but you can join on Zoom or you can join in person at PEC.</u>

<u>02:08:19.000 --> 02:08:27.000</u> And it will be a component of the regular meeting so we'll have CBRC members at the dais in the boardroom.

02:08:27.000 --> 02:08:38.000 I hope as many of you are able to attend in person or there and let me know how else we can support, you need any other preparation time or anything like that.

02:08:38.000 --> 02:08:44.000 Oh, and just take a second and say thank you, Alexandra. Thank you, Dr. Sullivan. Thank you, Dr.

02:08:44.000 --> 02:08:59.000

Adams. And let's say you're Dell and all the other staff, you know, who have assisted us this year and put a lot of information together and we recognize that we've scrambled this past 10 days to full letter together that you all have had to move very quickly as well.

<u>02:08:59.000 --> 02:09:01.000</u> So thank you.

02:09:01.000 --> 02:09:02.000 Thank you very much.

02:09:02.000 --> 02:09:04.000 Alright, good nice everyone Tuesday.

<u>02:09:04.000 --> 02:09:05.000</u> <u>Thank you.</u>

<u>02:09:05.000 --> 02:09:07.000</u> <u>Thank you.</u>

<u>02:09:07.000 --> 02:09:11.000</u> And you

Submitted by: Alexandra Martin Finance Program Manager